
Lassen Foothills Vegetation Mapping Project: Final Vegetation Map and Classification Report

To the
Tehama County Resource Conservation District and
Resources Legacy Fund Foundation



Prepared by:

Jennifer Buck, Vegetation Ecologist
And
Julie Evens, Vegetation Program
Director

California Native Plant Society 2707 K Street, Suite 1 Sacramento, CA 95816 In collaboration with:

John Menke, Senior Vegetation Mapping Specialist

Aerial Information Systems 112 First St. Redlands, CA 92373

| Introduction | 1 |
|--|-------------------------------|
| Objective | 1 |
| Methods | 1 |
| Figure 1. A vegetation map illustrating the wildlife habitat units found in the La project area as well as locations for 450 field survey points | ssen 4 erra sen 5 |
| References | 11 |
| APPENDIX A - PHOTO INTERPRETIVE AND MAPPING GUIDELINES FOR TH | 12 |
| Summary Timeline and Summary of the Lassen Foothills Mapping Effort | |
| Vegetation Mapping Criteria & Methodologies | |
| Vegetation Density and Floristic Assignments | |
| Mapping Descriptions | |
| 1210 – Pinus sabiniana Alliance | 16 |
| 1220 – Juniperus californica Alliance | |
| 1300 – Northern American Temperate Riparian Woodlands & Forests Mapp | |
| | |
| 1320 - Populus fremontii Alliance | |
| 1330 – Salix laevigata Alliance | |
| 1340 – Alnus rhombifolia Alliance | |
| 1411- Umbellaria californica – Quercus wislizeni Mapping Unit | |
| 1420 – Quercus chrysolepis Alliance2120 – Quercus wislizeni Alliance | |
| 2123 – Quercus wislizerii Alliance | |
| 2123 – Quercus wisitzerii – Quercus douglasii Super Alliance | |
| 2220 – Aesculus californica Alliance | |
| 2231 – Quercus lobata / Herbaceous Association | |
| 2240 – Quercus kelloggii Alliance | |
| 3101 – Northern Mixed Mesic Chaparral Mapping Unit | 26 |
| 3102 – Mixed Scrub Oak Chaparral Super Alliance | |
| 3130 – Ceanothus cuneatus Alliance | |
| 3402 – Mixed Shrub Willow Thicket Mapping Unit | |
| 3510 – Quercus garryana Shrub Alliance | |
| 4101 – Bulrush – Cattail Marsh Mapping Unit | |
| 4201 – Seasonally Flooded Wetland Herbaceous Mapping Unit | |
| 4202 – Vernal Pool Mapping Unit | |
| 4310 – California Annual or Perennial Grassland Mapping Unit | |
| 9000 – Land Use – Sparsely- or Un-vegetated | 29 |

| Cover Class Density Values in Map | 30 |
|---|-----------|
| Other Metadata for Map | 30 |
| Appendix B - Accuracy Assessment Sampling Plan for Lassen Foothills Vegetation Mapping Project (October 31, 2007) | 31 |
| Appendix C - List of scientific names for species occurring in vegetation surverselves of the Lassen Foothills study area. Botanical reference information is from Hickman (1993) and USDA (2004) | eys 33 |
| Appendix D - Lassen Vegetation Mapping Classification (February, 2008) | 45 |

Introduction

The Tehama County Resource Conservation District contracted with the California Native Plant Society (CNPS) and Aerial Information Systems (AIS) to produce a fine-scale, spatially and floristically accurate vegetation map of the Lassen Foothills project area. This area encompasses a 108,400 acre portion of eastern Tehama County and covers three large parcels: South Denny Ranch, Tehama Wildlife Area, and Dye Creek Preserve. The project area represents a biologically diverse mix of vegetation types including grasslands, riparian, foothill chaparral, and oak woodlands. Vegetation resources were assessed through new and previous field surveys, classification analysis of 35 vegetation alliances, and mapping of 37 vegetation map units.

Objective

A primary objective of this collaborative project was the creation of a detailed vegetation map in the Lassen Foothills project area, along with a vegetation classification at the alliance-level. The resulting field surveys and map provide a baseline dataset with great floristic and ecological detail. This information is being used to develop state and transition models for the vegetation types as well as a fire condition class map. Ultimately, this information will feed into the Lassen Fire Management Plan developed by the Tehama County Resource Conservation District in conjunction with the Tehama-Glenn FireSafe Council.

Methods

The properties within the Lassen Foothills project area are a mix of private and public ownership. A vegetation classification for the northern Sierra Nevada Foothills region was developed recently by the California Native Plant Society (Klein et. al. 2007). A preliminary vegetation map for the Lassen Foothills was created by Aerial Information Systems (AIS) in fall 2007 using color aerial imagery, 180 reconnaissance points, and 143 field surveys to understand and interpret the vegetation components of the area. For a complete report and methods for the vegetation mapping written by AIS, see Appendix A, which includes a crosswalk to vegetation alliances and wildlife habitat relationship (WHR) types.

To validate the vegetation map, an accuracy assessment with field verification was conducted by CNPS field staff. Using an existing field key to the vegetation of this region (Klein et al. 2007) and a sampling plan (Appendix B), field data were collected to assess the user's accuracy of the vegetation map. User's accuracy was calculated by dividing the number of samples that agreed with their corresponding map class by the total number of samples whose field call belonged to that category.

From November to December, 2007, new surveys were entered into a standardized database and a thorough quality assessment and quality check (QA/QC) was performed prior to analysis. Information is archived in an MS Access database, which has a form for entering and viewing of data records. Associated survey information is stored in tables named AllReports and AllPlants, and other tables are look-up reference tables for

the functionality of the forms and data tables. Digital photographs are archived into folders labeled by survey date.

An accuracy assessment helps map users determine how much confidence should be assigned to the mapping units and allows a better understanding of the maps appropriateness for various applications. A fuzzy logic method was used to compare the vegetation label assigned to a polygon in the map (mapping unit attribute) with the label assigned through ground-truth/field sampling. Two scales were used to score polygon attributes for the project area:

Codes for Scoring Vegetation Attribute Accuracy Assessment:

- 1 = completely wrong with low ecological similarity
- 2 = similar life form, ecologically related or shares diagnostic species
- 3 = correct, meets key definitions for the vegetation type or mapping unit

Codes for Scoring Canopy Cover Attribute Accuracy Assessment:

- 1 = incorrect (4 classes off)
- 2 = completely opposite of correct (3 classes off)
- 3 = mostly incorrect (2 classes off)
- 4 = partially incorrect (1 class off)
- 5 = correct class

Each field-verified polygon was ranked according to the set of decision rules in these scoring scales. Then percent accuracy was calculated to obtain accuracy assessment scores. For each mapping unit, polygon ranks were summed and then divided by the total number of polygons for a perfect score (e.g., with 5 field surveys for one mapping unit, the perfect score is 25). Then, percent accuracy is calculated per mapping unit and provided back to the AIS photo-interpreters to reassess units and make any necessary changes. These efforts verify and increase the final accuracy of the map product.

Results

In 450 vegetation surveys of the Lassen Foothills area, 495 vascular plant taxa were identified. General names were given to nonvascular or vascular plant species that were not identified to the species level (e.g., Moss, Lichen). Appendix C provides a complete list of scientific and family names for all taxa identified. Further, the field surveys contained data on 81 herbaceous stands, 83 shrub stands and 280 tree stands.

The floristic classification for the Lassen Foothills study area includes 35 vegetation alliances, including the more common blue and interior live oak (Quercus douglasii and Quercus wislizeni) woodland and wedgeleaf ceanothus (Ceanothus cuneatus) chaparral alliances. Uncommon vegetation alliances in the region include California Sycamore (Platanus racemosa), Oregon Ash (Fraxinus latifolia), and Valley Oak (Quercus lobata) riparian woodland alliances, which have State rarity rankings of S3. While annual grasslands occur as broad swathes, the area contains many rare and wildflower-rich annual grassland types including those with S3 rarity rankings: Fremont's Tidytips (Layia fremontii), Seep Monkeyflower (Mimulus guttatus), and Whitetip Clover (Trifolium variegatum) alliances.

This detailed floristic classification in the northern Sierra Nevada Foothills was translated into a mapping classification. While the mapping focused on the finest floristic scale possible, some higher-level mapping units were used (e.g., Interior Live Oak Alliance instead of association level units). This is because a map cannot always represent stands of vegetation that may be identified and classified from the ground. The total number of mapping units in the final Lassen Foothills vegetation map is 37, and the average polygon size is 7 acres. Table 1 shows a crosswalk between the 35 alliances and 78 floristically-classified associations that are either mapped or combined within each mapping unit. Appendix D contains a classification of mapping units used in the vegetation map with a nested, hierarchical list of alliance names and their associated map numbers. There were 17 unique Wildlife Habitats found within the study site. Figure 1 illustrates a map of units from the Wildlife Habitat Relationship managed by the CA Department of Fish and Game.

Out of the 450 surveys taken, 307 field verification surveys were used for a rigorous error analysis of the mapped vegetation attributes as well as canopy cover attributes. Thirty vegetation types were evaluated in the accuracy assessment, representing 81% of the total number of mapped types. For every assessment that scored below a value of 3, a CNPS ecologist and an AIS photo-interpreter reviewed the polygon, so that changes could be made to increase the final map product's accuracy. The map classes shown in this document represent those that remained or were created following adjustment of map classes to improve the map accuracy. For the final assessed map units, the overall user's accuracy averaged 85%. Table 2 breaks down the average accuracy for each of the individual map units. The preferred accuracy for fine-scale map products is 80%, and the final map considerably met these expectations.

Another analysis was run on the canopy cover estimates attributed for each polygon in the Lassen Foothills Vegetation Map. Table 3 reports the average accuracy for both the hardwood estimates and conifer estimates of canopy cover. The results show that the denser canopy cover estimates had lower correlations with field data estimates, though the accuracy had a range of 70-98% accuracy. The average total accuracy across all classes for conifer cover was 95%, and for hardwood cover was 83%.

Figure 1. A vegetation map illustrating the wildlife habitat units found in the Lassen project area as well as locations for 450 field survey points.




Table 1. Crosswalk between the vegetation types from the CNPS Northern Sierra Nevada Foothills floristic classification with the AIS Map Units used in the Lassen Foothills Vegetation Map.

| LifeForm | Alliance | Floristic Vegetation Type | Map Unit Type |
|----------|-----------------------------------|--|--|
| Tree | | | |
| | Aesculus californ | ica Alliance | Aesculus californica Alliance |
| | | Aesculus californica / Toxicodendron dive Aesculus californica Riparian | ersilobum / Moss |
| | Alnus rhombifolia | • | Alnus rhombifolia Alliance |
| | , | Alnus rhombifolia - Quercus chrysolepis | , |
| | | Alnus rhombifolia - Salix laevigata | |
| | | Alnus rhombifolia - Salix laevigata - Platal Alnus rhombifolia / Carex | nus racemosa |
| | Fraxinus latifolia | Alliance | Northern Sierra Foothills Riparian Mapping Unit |
| | | Fraxinus latifolia - Alnus rhombifolia | |
| | Platanus racemo | | Northern Sierra Foothills Riparian Mapping Unit |
| | 0 '-!' | Platanus racemosa (Alliance) | North and Olama Fragicilla Disaster Manada at 11-2 |
| | Quercus wislizen | ı Alliance - Quercus wislizeni - Salix laevigata / Rhan | Northern Sierra Foothills Riparian Mapping Unit |
| | Salix lasiolepis Al | | Northern Sierra Foothills Riparian Mapping Unit |
| | | Salix lasiolepis / Rubus spp. | |
| | Unclassified Ripa | arian Woodland/Forest Stands | Northern Sierra Foothills Riparian Mapping Unit |
| | | Unclassified Riparian Woodland/Forest (S | , |
| | Pinus ponderosa | | Pinus ponderosa Alliance |
| | Diama anhining | Pinus ponderosa (Alliance) | Discuss ashinings / Occupathors association |
| | Pinus sabiniana A | | Pinus sabiniana / Ceanothus cuneatus Association |
| | Populus fremonti | Pinus sabiniana / Ceanothus cuneatus | Populus fremontii - Salix laevigata Association |
| | r opulus II c itioniii | Populus fremontii - Salix laevigata | r opulus Terrioritii - Sailx laevigata Association |
| | Populus fremonti | | Populus fremontii Alliance |
| | T oparao moment | Populus fremontii / Vitis californica | r operation and manage |
| | Quercus chrysole | • | Quercus chrysolepis Alliance |
| | • | Quercus chrysolepis - Pinus ponderosa | , , |
| | | Quercus chrysolepis - Quercus kelloggii | |
| | | Quercus chrysolepis - Quercus wislizeni | |

| LifeForm | Alliance | Floristic Vegetation Type | Map Unit Type |
|----------|-------------------|---|--|
| Tree | | | |
| | Quercus chrysole | <i>pi</i> s Alliance | Quercus chrysolepis Riparian Type |
| | Ouerous deugles | Quercus chrysolepis - Quercus lobata / Vit Quercus chrysolepis - Umbellularia califori | nica / Vitis californica Riparian |
| | Quercus douglasi | Ramance Quercus douglasii - Aesculus californica / I | Quercus douglasii - Aesculus californica / Herbaceous Association Herbaceous |
| | Quercus douglasi | i Alliance | Quercus douglasii - Pinus sabiniana / Herbaceous Mapping Unit nothus cuneatus - Cercocarpus betuloides |
| | Quercus douglasi | | Quercus douglasii / Arctostaphylos manzanita / Herbaceous Association |
| | | Quercus douglasii / Arctostaphylos manza | nita / Herbaceous |
| | Quercus douglasi | ii Alliance Quercus douglasii / Ceanothus cuneatus / | Quercus douglasii / Ceanothus cuneatus / Herbaceous Association Herbaceous |
| | Quercus douglasi | Quercus douglasii / Annual Grass-Herb Quercus douglasii / Perennial Grass-Herb | Quercus douglasii / Herbaceous Mapping Unit |
| | Quercus douglasi | | Quercus douglasii / Juniperus californica / (Ceanothus cuneatus) Mapping Unit |
| | | Quercus douglasii / Juniperus californica | |
| | | Quercus douglasii / Juniperus californica - | |
| | Quercus kelloggii | | Quercus kelloggii - Pinus ponderosa Mapping Unit |
| | | Quercus kelloggii - Pinus ponderosa | nothus integerimus |
| | Quercus kelloggii | Quercus kelloggii - Pinus ponderosa / Cea | Quercus kelloggii Alliance |
| | Quereus Kelloggii | Quercus kelloggii - Pinus sabiniana | Quereus Kelloggii Allianoe |
| | | Quercus kelloggii / Ceanothus integerrimus | S |
| | | Quercus kelloggii / Toxicodendron diversile | |
| | Quercus lobata A | | Quercus lobata / Herbaceous Type |
| | | Quercus Iobata / Herbaceous Semi-Riparia | |
| | Quercus lobata A | lliance Quercus lobata - Alnus rhombifolia Quercus lobata - Quercus wislizeni | Quercus lobata Riparian Type |

Quercus lobata / Rhus trilobata

| LifeForm | Alliance | Floristic Vegetation Type | Map Unit Type |
|----------|--------------------|--|--|
| Tree | | | |
| | | Quercus lobata / Riparian Quercus lobata / Rubus discolor | |
| | Quercus wislizen | <i>i</i> Alliance | Quercus wislizeni – (Quercus douglasii) / Aesculus californica Association |
| | | Quercus wislizeni - Aesculus californica | |
| | | Quercus wislizeni - Quercus douglasii - A | |
| | Quercus wislizen | i Alliance Quercus wislizeni - Pinus sabiniana | Quercus wislizeni - (Quercus douglasii) - Pinus sabinana Association |
| | | Quercus wislizeni - Pinus sabiniana / Arct | ostaphylos manzanita |
| | | Quercus wislizeni - Quercus douglasii - Pi | |
| | Quercus douglas | _ | Quercus wislizeni – Quercus douglasii Super Alliance |
| | • | Quercus douglasii - Quercus wislizeni / He | · |
| | Quercus wislizen | • | Quercus wislizeni – Quercus douglasii Super Alliance |
| | | Quercus wislizeni - Quercus douglasii / He | |
| | Quercus wislizen | | Quercus wislizeni Alliance |
| | | Quercus wislizeni - Mixed Shrub | |
| | | Quercus wislizeni - Quercus kelloggii | |
| | | Quercus wislizeni / Heteromeles arbutifoli | a |
| | | Quercus wislizeni / Toxicodendron diversi | lobum |
| | Salix laevigata Al | liance | Salix laevigata Alliance |
| | | Salix laevigata - Salix lasiolepis | |
| | Umbellularia calif | | Umbellularia californica - (Quercus wislizeni) Mapping Unit |
| | | Umbellularia californica - Alnus rhombifoli | |
| | | Umbellularia californica - Quercus wislizer | ni |
| Shrub | | | |
| | Ceanothus cunea | atus Alliance Ceanothus cuneatus - Eriodictyon californ | (Ceanothus cuneatus) – Eriodictyon californicum Mapping Unit |
| | Eriodictyon califo | | (Ceanothus cuneatus) – Eriodictyon californicum Mapping Unit |
| | Eriodictyon callo | Eriodictyon californicum / Herbaceous | (Ceanothus curreatus) — Enouictyon camornicum mapping offic |
| | Ceanothus cunea | | Ceanothus cuneatus / Herbaceous Association |

Ceanothus cuneatus / Herbaceous Ceanothus cuneatus / Plantago erecta LifeForm Alliance Floristic Vegetation Type Map Unit Type Shrub

Ceanothus cuneatus Alliance Ceanothus cuneatus Alliance

Ceanothus cuneatus - Quercus garryana var. fruticosa

Ceanothus cuneatus (Alliance)

Juniperus californica Alliance Juniperus californica Alliance

Juniperus californica / Ceanothus cuneatus

Juniperus californica / Herbaceous

Quercus berberidifolia Alliance Mixed Scrub Oak Chaparral Super Alliance

Quercus berberidifolia - Ceanothus cuneatus

Brickellia californica Alliance Mixed Shrub Willow Thicket Mapping Unit

Brickellia californica / Herbaceous

Rhamnus tomentella Alliance Mixed Shrub Willow Thicket Mapping Unit

Rhamnus tomentella (Alliance)

Riparian Shrubland Mixed Shrub Willow Thicket Mapping Unit

Riparian Shrubland

Salix exigua Alliance Mixed Shrub Willow Thicket Mapping Unit

Salix exigua (Alliance)

Cercocarpus betuloides Alliance Northern Mixed Mesic Chaparral Mapping Unit

Cercocarpus betuloides - Ceanothus cuneatus

Quercus garryana shrub Alliance Quercus garryana Shrub Alliance

Quercus garryana var. fruticosa shrub

Rubus discolor Alliance Vitis – Rubus – Rose Mapping Unit

Rubus discolor (Alliance)

Herbaceous

Scirpus acutus Alliance Bullrush – Cattail Marsh Mapping Unit

Scirpus acutus var. occidentalis

Bromus hordeaceus Alliance California Annual or Perennial Grassland

Bromus hordeaceus - Erodium botrys - Plagiobothrys fulvus

California Annual or Perennial Grassland Stands California Annual or Perennial Grassland

California Annual or Perennial Grassland (Stands)

Centaurea solstitialis Alliance California Annual or Perennial Grassland

Centaurea solstitialis (Alliance)

Lasthenia californica-Plantago erecta-Vulpia microstachys California Annual or Perennial Grassland

Alliance

Vulpia microstachys - Lasthenia californica - Parvisedum pumilum

LifeForm Alliance Floristic Vegetation Type M
Herbaceous

Map Unit Type

Vulpia microstachys - Navarretia tagetina

Vulpia microstachys - Plantago erecta - (Calycadenia truncata - Calycadenia multiglandulosa)

Vulpia microstachys - Selaginella hansenii

Nassella pulchra Alliance California Annual or Perennial Grassland

Nassella pulchra (Alliance)

Plagiobothrys nothofulvus-(Bromus hordeaceus) Alliance California Annual or Perennial Grassland

Plagiobothrys nothofulvus-(Bromus hordeaceus) (Alliance)

Eleocharis macrostachya Alliance Seasonally or Temporarily Flooded Seeps & Meadows Mapping Unit

Eleocharis macrostachya - Marsilea vestita

Juncus effusus Alliance Seasonally or Temporarily Flooded Seeps & Meadows Mapping Unit

Juncus effusus (Alliance)

Leymus triticoides Alliance Seasonally or Temporarily Flooded Seeps & Meadows Mapping Unit

Leymus triticoides (Alliance)

Lolium multiflorum Alliance Seasonally or Temporarily Flooded Seeps & Meadows Mapping Unit

Lolium multiflorum - Centaurium muehlenbergii

Lolium multiflorum - Zigadenus fremontii

Mimulus guttatus Alliance Seasonally or Temporarily Flooded Seeps & Meadows Mapping Unit

Mimulus guttatus (Alliance)

Trifolium variegatum Alliance Seasonally or Temporarily Flooded Seeps & Meadows Mapping Unit

Leonotodon taraxacoides-Hordeum marinum

Trifolium variegatum

Trifolium variegatum - Lolium multiflorum - Leontodon taraxacoides

Layia fremontii Alliance Seasonally or Temporarily Flooded Vernal Pools

Layia fremontii - Lasthenia californica - Achyrachaena mollis

Plagiobothrys austiniae - Achyrachaena mollis

Table 2. The Average Accuracy for Lassen Foothills Vegetation Map Units.

| AIS Map Unit # | Map Unit Name | # Polygons Mapped | # AA Surveys | % User's Accuracy |
|-------------------|--|-------------------------|-----------------|----------------------|
| 1210 | Pinus sabiniana Alliance | 18 | 5 | 73% |
| 1211 | Pinus sabiniana / Ceanothus cuneatus Association | 102 | 9 | 78% |
| 1300 | Northern American Temperate Riparian Woodlands & Forests Mapping Unit | 188 | 15 | 96% |
| 2120 | Quercus wislizeni Alliance | 278 | 3 | 78% |
| 2121 | Quercus wislizeni - (Quercus douglasii) - Pinus sabinana Mapping Unit | 113 | 12 | 83% |
| 2122 | Quercus wislizeni - (Quercus douglasii) / Aesculus californica Association | 376 | 11 | 85% |
| 2123 | Quercus wislizeni - Quercus douglasii Super Alliance | 1337 | 28 | 75% |
| 2211 | Quercus douglasii / Annual - Perennial Herbaceous Mapping Unit | 4303 | 20 | 88% |
| 2212 | Quercus douglasii - Pinus sabiniana / Herbaceous Association | 220 | 15 | 78% |
| 2213 | Quercus douglasii / Juniperus californica / (Ceanothus cuneatus) Association | 184 | 10 | 90% |
| 2214 | Quercus douglasii - Aesculus californica / Herbaceous Association | 71 | 5 | 100% |
| 2215 | Quercus douglasii / Ceanothus cuneatus / Herbaceous Association | 1420 | 10 | 93% |
| 2220 | Aesculus californica Alliance | 25 | 2 | 83% |
| 2240 | Quercus kelloggii Alliance | 133 | 15 | 87% |
| 2241 | Quercus kelloggii - Pinus ponderosa Association | 15 | 8 | 96% |
| 3101 | Northern Mixed Mesic Chaparral Mapping Unit | 508 | 14 | 76% |
| 3102 | Mixed Scrub Oak Chaparral Super Alliance | 76 | 8 | 100% |
| 3130 | Ceanothus cuneatus Alliance | 46 | 5 | 73% |
| 3132 | Ceanothus cuneatus / Herbaceous Association | 1182 | 10 | 77% |
| 3510 | Quercus garryana Shrub Alliance | 33 | 11 | 88% |
| 4201 | Seasonally Flooded Wetland Herbaceous Mapping Unit | 111 | 10 | 100% |
| 4310 | California Annual or Perennial Grassland | 4552 | 57 | 91% |

Table 3. The Average Accuracy for Lassen Foothills Map Canopy Cover Estimates

| AIS Conifer Cover Class | Average of AA Scores | AA Score |
|----------------------------|------------------------|----------|
| 25-40% | 3.50 | 70% |
| 10-25% | 4.21 | 84% |
| 2-10% | 4.53 | 91% |
| <2% | 4.89 | 98% |
| 95% | Average Total Accuracy | |

| AIS Hdwd Cover Class | Average of AA Scores | AA Score |
|-------------------------|------------------------|----------|
| >60% | 3.59 | 72% |
| 40-40% | 3.74 | 75% |
| 25-40% | 3.93 | 79% |
| 10-25% | 4.33 | 87% |
| 2-10% | 4.48 | 90% |
| <2% | 4.84 | 97% |
| 83% | Average Total Accuracy | |

References

Klein, A., J. Crawford, J. Evens, T. Keeler-Wolf, and D. Hickson. 2007. Classification of the vegetation alliances and associations of the northern Sierra Nevada Foothills, California. Report prepared for California Department of Fish and Game. California Native Plant Society, Sacramento, CA.

APPENDIX A.

PHOTO INTERPRETIVE AND MAPPING GUIDELINES FOR THE LASSEN FOOTHILLS MAPPING AREA



Prepared for the California Native Plant Society

By

Aerial Information Systems

Summary

In 2007, Aerial Information Systems, Inc. (AIS) was contracted by the California Native Plant Society (CNPS) to produce a vegetation map for approximately 100,000 acres of foothill and valley fringe Lassen Foothills regions west of Lassen National Park. Included within the mapping area are the Dye Creek Preserve and Tehama Wildlife Area, in addition to the Denny Ranch property in the northwestern portion of the study. The final products will assist agencies and other interested organizations in fire modeling, resource protection, and restoration efforts. The Nature Conservancy (TNC) will use the map to help predict changes in vegetation resulting from variations in the frequency of fires as well as help land managers determine where prescribed fires will be of most benefit.

The study area covers a variety of habitats found in the lower elevations of the southern Cascade Range foothills including blue and interior oak woodlands, valley oak riparian forests and several chaparral communities. The vegetation of the region was defined by using existing CNPS survey data and by CNPS collecting additional field surveys (with an additional 180 reconnaissance surveys performed by AIS) for help in photo signature training. This information supports a vegetation mapping classification at units which are discernable using 1-meter imagery.

Timeline and Summary of the Lassen Foothills Mapping Effort

- June 2007 Contract begins on Lassen Foothills
- June 2007 AIS review of existing imagery & ancillary data
- June 2007 Initial 4-day field reconnaissance
- July 2007 Initial photo interpretation and signature-environmental correlations begin
- September 2007 First module delivered to CNPS Initial linework and labels for 19,000 acres in the southwestern portion of the study area
- November 2007 Second delivery of initial linework & labels for 90% of the study area
- December 2007 Final Delivery (Pre-Accuracy Assessment) of Lassen Database
- January 2008 Lassen Foothills AA Review
- January 2008 Final Delivery of Lassen Foothills Database based on AA review and updates

Vegetation Mapping Criteria & Methodologies

Vegetation mapping procedures include first conducting an initial field reconnaissance. This was a four-day effort and involved the AIS photo interpreters along with CNPS and local field botanists and ecologists. Approximately 180 GPS points were taken over most of the study area capturing the major floristic variability

within the property. The following is a list of primary goals required by a field reconnaissance:

- Establish relationships between plant communities and bio-physical attributes.
- Acquire point data for as many variations in stand characteristics to later correlate to an image or photo signature.
- Acquire ground photos and descriptions of stands to correlate to digital imagery

Using these points, air photo signatures (color-tone-texture combinations that the photo interpreter views on the hard copy or digital photo) were then correlated to their corresponding plant communities or plant species viewed in the field. CNPS vegetation ecologists and AIS photo interpreters evaluated these correlations between the vegetation units and photo signatures and refined them to ensure that the map would be useful at a resolution needed to meet CNPS standards.

A preliminary mapping classification was then developed using information derived from the field reconnaissance and existing field plot data along with a preliminary CNPS floristic classifications developed for the Sierra foothills.

The vegetation units were then interpreted across the entire study area using heads-up digitizing techniques through custom ArcMap tools that AIS has developed for the various vegetation mapping projects conducted throughout the state. As a general rule, common and widespread vegetation units were delineated down to a minimum mapping unit (MMU) of approximately ½ hectare. Small wetlands were delineated in many incidences below the MMU. The ½ hectare MMU applied for all floristic and structural breaks as required by the contract.

Two sets of digital imagery were used in aiding the photo interpreter in delineating and labeling the mapping units:

- 1-Meter Natural Color Summer 2005 from the National Agricultural Inventory Program (NAIP) (Base for mapped polygons)
- Imagery from the Google-maps software built into to the AIS project

The one-meter natural color was used as base-line imagery for the mapped polygons. Photo interpreters also had a contour layer to help in determining the terrain related features of the stand being mapped. These included slope steepness, position, direction and shape.

The following is a list of supplemental data AIS used in addition to the imagery in helping to determine the final floristic call:

- CNPS Rapid Assessment Plot Data
- CNPS Reconnaissance Plot Data June 2007
- CNPS Waypoint Data
- Hydrological Layer
- Local Roads Layer
- Vehicle Trails Layer

- Administrative and study area boundary Layers
- DRG's depicting the USGS 7.5' Topographic quads in the study

Vegetation Density and Floristic Assignments

Densities were mapped for each vegetation layer that exists in the stand. Vegetation densities can be assigned for up to four layers of vegetation (conifer, broadleaf, shrub and herbaceous layers). Alliances are normally defined by the dominant overstory vegetation layer if that layer contains at least 8-10% cover. For example; stands of blue oak with 28% tree cover over an understory shrub layer of wedge-leaf ceanothus containing 5% cover would be assigned to an association within the blue oak alliance. This example stand will have a vegetation density assignment of 3 in the hardwood field (25-40%) and a density assignment of 5 in the shrub field (2-10%). All density values are measured in absolute cover, not relative cover. Stands of foothill pine with ~5% cover over dense ceanothus shrubland will be assigned to the Ceanothus cuneatus alliance and will receive a density category of 5 (2-10%) in the conifer layer and a density category of 1 (>60%) in the hardwood category. This way, sparse emergent stands of foothill pine (or ponderosa pine in the eastern portions of the study area) can be accounted for without assigning it to a conifer type when there is a strong dominance of hardwood or shrubs in the non-emergent canopy. Detailed descriptions of the mapping units are included in the following section of this report.

Mapping Descriptions

For each mapping unit described below, the following set of descriptions will be addressed:

<u>Mapping Descriptions:</u> These were ascertained by photo interpreters in their mapping and reconnaissance effort along with discussions involving CNPS field ecologists. The map units attempt to correlate to defined Alliances and Associations when possible as described by a CNPS Sierra Nevada Foothills Vegetation Classification. However, some map units may not exactly correlate due to imagery limitations. The following examples represent possible correlations of the mapping classification to the floristic classification:

- Mapping Unit consists of dominant species occupying different levels in the classification higher than the alliance level. Example: 4201 – Seasonally to Intermittently Flooded Seeps & Meadows Mapping Unit
- Mapping unit consists of dominant species from two or more alliances in the classification within the same formation level. Example: 3101 – Northern Mixed Mesic Chaparral Mapping Unit
- Mapping unit = Alliance
- Mapping unit consists of two or more associations or potential associations within one or more alliances. Example: 2212 – Quercus douglasii – Pinus sabiniana Mapping Unit
- Mapping unit = Association

<u>Environmental & Geographic Settings:</u> Information in this section describes the local environmental conditions and broader geographic range in which photo interpreters mapped the floristic types. Most environmental descriptions are based on slope or terrain related features such as steepness, aspect and direction.

<u>PI Notations:</u> These notations may be useful for other photo interpreters who are mapping adjacent areas or in future mapping efforts in the same area. This section includes descriptions of the difficulties photo interpreters came across in mapping a particular map unit.

Forests & Woodlands:

1210 - Pinus sabiniana Alliance

WHR – Blue Oak Woodland NDDB – Cismontane Woodland

<u>Mapping Description:</u> Mapped where foothill pine (*Pinus sabiniana*) strongly dominates the tree layer, or at greater than 8-10% absolute cover while emergent to a shrub understory. Oaks generally make up less than 20% relative cover in the tree layer. When oaks make up a higher cover, the PI mapped to one of the mixed pine-oak types, either in the blue oak (*Quercus douglasii*) or interior oak (*Quercus wislizeni*) alliances.

<u>Environmental & Geographic Settings:</u> Photo interpreters did not establish any strong correlates between slope related features and the occurrence of this alliance. However, it was generally mapped well above the lower elevation fringes of blue oak occurrence however.

<u>PI Notations:</u> Rarely mapped to an alliance level, usually wedge-leaf ceanothus was the most common understory shrub - where it was mapped to that association. Pine signatures were uniform throughout the mapping area; determining cover was at times difficult in sparse settings.

1211 – Pinus sabiniana / Ceanothus cuneatus Association

WHR – Blue Oak Woodland NDDB – Cismontane Woodland

<u>Mapping Description:</u> Mapped where foothill pine (*Pinus sabiniana*) is sole dominant in the tree layer or as a sparse overstory (generally 8-25% cover) to a sparse to occasionally dense chaparral understory composed primarily of wedge-leaf ceanothus (*Ceanothus cuneatus*). Other shrubs can locally dominate the understory. Mapped where foothill pine contains at least 8% emergent cover to the chaparral understory.

<u>Environmental & Geographic Settings:</u> Photo interpreters did not establish any strong correlation between terrain features or soil conditions to the presence of foothill pine as an emergent to chaparral. Generally mapped well above the lower elevation fringes of blue oak (*Quercus douglasii*) occurrence, often on drier slopes adjacent to stands of northern mixed mesic chaparral (See descriptions for that type on page 17)

<u>PI Notations:</u> Mapped frequently, signature correlates were strongly established for foothill pine with a shrub understory. However, determining the species of understory dominant shrubs at times proved difficult.

1220 - Juniperus californica Alliance

WHR – Juniper NDDB – Pinyon & Juniper Woodland

<u>Mapping Description:</u> This alliance was mapped when California juniper (*Juniperus californica*) was strongly dominant in either the tall shrub or tree layer. Hardwoods make up less than 50% of the relative cover in the tree canopy. Blue oak (*Quercus douglasii*) often make up a small portion of the tree layer. Overall tree cover varies from extremely to moderately sparse, rarely reaching over 25% cover. Understory is often grassy but wedge-leaf ceanothus (*Ceanothus cuneatus*) can be a sparse shrub understory component.

<u>Environmental & Geographic Settings:</u> Mapped in the lower fringes of the blue oak zone, often in dry rocky ravines (correlated with the input of CNPS field ecologists) and adjacent slopes; often adjacent to blue oak grassland communities.

<u>PI Notations:</u> Since California juniper occurs in such sparse settings, PI's found it fairly difficult to ascertain a definitive signature to individual trees. Denser stands did show up greener than the adjacent blue oaks. At times it may have been confused with interior oak (*Quercus wislizeni*) in steeper settings.

<u>1300 – Northern American Temperate Riparian Woodlands & Forests Mapping Unit</u>

WHR – Valley Foothill Riparian NDDB – Valley Oak Woodlands (In part), Riparian Forests (In Part)

<u>Mapping Descriptions:</u> Mapped where a wide variety of riparian species mix (often as many as five species in a stand). Valley oak (*Quercus lobata*), Oregon ash (*Fraxinus latifolia*), Fremont cottonwood (*Populus fremontii*), red willow (*Salix laevigata*), California sycamore (*Platanus racemosa*) and/or white alder (*Alnus rhombifolia*) may locally dominate over small areas; however several species usually share dominance over much of the riparian system.

<u>Environmental & Geographic Settings:</u> Mapped adjacent to large perennial river systems including Dye, Salt, Meeker, Antelope and Little Antelope Creeks, among others throughout the study region on temporarily flooded riverine flats and islands.

<u>PI Notations:</u> Photo signature varies widely depending on species composition; areas where signature appears uniform over large areas have been mapped to finer levels in

the classification for the most part. Signatures best established for valley oak and white alder.

1320 - Populus fremontii Alliance

1321 - Populus fremontii - Salix laevigata Association

<u> 1330 – Salix laevigata Alliance</u>

Note: Polygons delineated to this level in the classification are mapped to a field labeled <u>riparian sub-code</u>; PI code retains the 1300 identity.

WHR – Valley Foothill Riparian NDDB – Riparian Forests

<u>Mapping Descriptions:</u> Mapped sparingly in small patches where either Fremont cottonwood (*Populus fremontii*) or red willow (*Salix laevigata*) dominate or they share dominance in the riparian canopy. Stands are usually in a forest or dense woodland settings greater than 60% cover. Understory species of shrub willow or wild rose (*Rosa spp.*) were noted in field reconnaissance.

<u>Environmental & Geographic Settings:</u> Mapped sparingly adjacent to the lower elevation slower moving channels in the western third of the Lassen Foothills mapping area. Fremont cottonwoods noted individually on drier floodplains farther away from the active channel.

<u>PI Notations:</u> Signatures for both species were hard to ascertain as continuous stands were infrequent in the mapping area. Larger individual cottonwood trees appeared a bit more blue-green than other riparian species.

1340 - Alnus rhombifolia Alliance

WHR – Valley Foothill Riparian NDDB – Riparian Forests

Note: Polygons delineated to this level in the classification are mapped to a field labeled riparian sub-code; PI code retains the 1300 identity.

<u>Mapping Descriptions:</u> Mapped sparingly where white alder (*Alnus rhombifolia*) dominates the riparian canopy in forest settings, generally with more than 60% cover. Other species such as big leaf maple (*Acer macrophyllum*) and canyon oak (in drier settings) may be a minor component to the canopy.

<u>Environmental & Geographic Settings:</u> Mapped along fast moving perennial streams and larger riparian systems as narrow bands immediately adjacent to the active channel generally in the eastern two thirds of the mapping area.

<u>PI Notations:</u> White alder signatures are best defined by the regular appearing crown in narrow repetitive bands adjacent to water. Generally this occurs over very small areas, often below ½ hectare.

1411- Umbellaria californica – Quercus wislizeni Mapping Unit

WHR – Montane Hardwood NDDB – Broadleaf Upland Forests

<u>Mapping Descriptions:</u> Mapped where California bay (*Umbellaria californica*) dominates or is an important subordinate to interior live oak (*Quercus wislizeni*) in the canopy. Stands are generally dense, usually over 70% cover.

<u>Environmental & Geographic Settings:</u> Mapped on moderate to steep north trending slopes on variable settings from neutral to convex, usually below cliff faces creating a locally mesic environment. Sixteen polygons mapped to this type.

<u>PI Notations:</u> Polygons reduced from original map based on plot data and trends established by CNPS field crews. This type is extremely difficult to map due to the rare dominance of California bay in the study area, it is usually a minor component to the hardwood canopy.

1420 - Quercus chrysolepis Alliance

WHR – Montane Hardwood NDDB – Canyon Oak Forest

<u>Mapping Descriptions:</u> Mapped where canyon Oak (*Quercus chrysolepis*) dominates the hardwood canopy in dense woodland to forest settings; generally over 60% cover. Other hardwoods species such as valley oak (*Quercus lobata*) or interior live oak (*Quercus wislizeni*) may be in the canopy; emergent foothill pine or ponderosa pine also may be present.

<u>Environmental & Geographic Settings:</u> Mapped in steep canyons and occasionally adjacent to major riparian systems just upslope from white alder stands.

<u>PI Notations:</u> An uncommon type within the study, photo interpretation often confused canyon oak with both interior and black oak, as noted by CNPS field crews. Signature varied considerably depending on the crown "flushness" and topographical setting.

2120 - Quercus wislizeni Alliance

WHR – Montane Hardwood, Blue Oak - Foothill Pine

NDDB – Cismontane Woodland

Mapping Descriptions: Mapped in both shrub and tree settings where interior live oak (Quercus wislizeni) dominates (and is often a strong dominant of 80-90% relative cover) in either layer. In shrub settings, often grades into a northern mixed chaparral containing several chaparral species. In woodland settings, often occurs with blue oak (Quercus douglasii). Cover is highly variable in both forms from sparse to dense. Mapped to the alliance level infrequently, usually in shrub like settings where photo interpreters cannot distinguish to a finer level in the classification.

<u>Environmental & Geographic Settings:</u> Noted in higher elevation areas than pure stands of blue oak; often in steeper more protected or rockier environments. Also mapped strongly dominant interior live oak in narrow bands of mesic settings, usually on steep north-facing aspects or mid to upper slopes above major riparian systems throughout the mapping area.

<u>PI Notations:</u> Can be confused with California juniper in drier settings, and with blue oak where the two mix. In higher elevations, this map unit can be confused with canyon oak signatures. In higher elevation scrub oak settings, it is generally indistinguishable from Brewer's oak (*Quercus garryana var. fruticosa*) and scrub oak (*Quercus berberidifolia*). Strongly dominant stands tend to have a uniform medium to dark green signature that helped keys photo interpreters to this type. It is difficult to determine blue oak presence in drier settings.

<u>2121 – Quercus wislizeni – (Quercus douglasii) - Pinus sabiniana Mapping Unit</u>

WHR – Montane Hardwood, Blue Oak - Foothill Pine NDDB – Cismontane Woodland

<u>Mapping Descriptions:</u> Mapped in a mixed conifer and hardwood setting where interior live oak (*Quercus wislizeni*) generally dominates with a sparse to moderate emergent overstory of foothill pine (*Pinus sabiniana*). Canopy cover varies from sparse to over 60% cover at times. Chaparral species are usually present in the understory in varying cover. Blue oak (*Quercus douglasii*) occasionally shares dominance with interior live oak.

<u>Environmental & Geographic Settings:</u> Noted in more mesic settings in somewhat steeper areas than mixed pine and blue oak.

<u>PI Notations:</u> Usually has more of a shrub understory than mixed foothill pine and blue oak and overall has a higher vegetation cover. Interior live oak in the stand is often mixed as both shrubs and trees.

<u>2122 – Quercus wislizeni – (Quercus douglasii) – Aesculus californica Mapping Unit</u>

WHR - Montane Hardwood, Blue Oak - Foothill Pine

NDDB - Cismontane Woodland

<u>Mapping Descriptions:</u> Mapped in a mixed conifer and hardwood setting where interior live oak (*Quercus wislizeni*) dominates with California buckeye (*Aesculus californica*) a minor component to the hardwood layer. Blue oak (*Quercus douglasii*) at times is present in the hardwood canopy. Overall tree cover is usually quite dense, often over 60%. Chaparral can be present in the understory along with a sparse cover of emergent foothill pine.

<u>Environmental & Geographic Settings:</u> Mapped in steeper and at times rockier settings than types 2121 or the blue oak types. Aspects vary from north trending to southerly but are more common on north trending slopes.

<u>PI Notations:</u> Photo interpreters mapped to this type when the presence of buckeye (showing up a bright yellow-green) in observed in the stand. At times appears to transition to a northern mixed mesic chaparral community.

<u>2123 – Quercus wislizeni – Quercus douglasii Super Alliance</u>

WHR – Montane Hardwood, Blue Oak - Foothill Pine NDDB – Cismontane Woodland

<u>Mapping Descriptions:</u> Mapped where both interior live oak (*Quercus wislizeni*) and (*Q. douglasii*) is present in a sparse to moderate cover usually over a grassy understory. Either species can dominate but both are present over most of the stand with at least 20% relative cover of both species.

<u>Environmental & Geographic Settings:</u> Mapped in generally a more open setting than pure interior live oak types, often on gentler terrain.

<u>PI Notations:</u> Very commonly mapped type, PI signature varies considerably depending on hardwood cover dominance. Can occur as narrow dense bands of vegetation in dry settings adjacent to pure blue oak; in these stands, interior live oak dominates but blue oak is a significant component to the hardwood canopy.

2210 - Quercus douglasii Alliance

WHR – Blue Oak Woodland, Blue Oak - Foothill Pine NDDB – Cismontane Woodland

Mapping Descriptions: Generally mapped below the alliance level.

2211 - Quercus douglasii / Annual - Perennial Herbaceous Mapping Unit

WHR - Blue Oak Woodland

NDDB - Cismontane Woodland

<u>Mapping Descriptions:</u> Mapped where blue oak (*Quercus douglasii*) strongly dominates the canopy in sparse to moderately dense cover. Most stands however are below 40% total canopy cover. Understory grasses are always present in varying cover depending on soil depth and presence of volcanic rock. Some shrubs (under 5% cover) can be present in the stand but not regularly occurring throughout.

<u>Environmental & Geographic Settings:</u> Mapped extensively, especially in the western two thirds of the study area on gentle to moderately sloping terrain of varying aspects.

<u>PI Notations:</u> Can be confused with sparse blue oak & juniper where juniper is a minor component to an already sparse canopy.

2212 - Quercus douglasii - Pinus sabiniana Mapping Unit

WHR – Blue Oak - Foothill Pine NDDB – Cismontane Woodland

<u>Mapping Descriptions:</u> Mapped where foothill pine (*Pinus sabiniana*) is a sparse emergent over a sparse to moderately dense cover of blue oak (*Quercus douglasii*), generally in a grassy setting. Shrub understory is generally sparse or absent.

<u>Environmental & Geographic Settings:</u> Mapped in areas similar to blue oak stands without pine, except not in the lowest elevations of the blue oak zone. Photo interpreters have not derived any strong correlations to the presence of foothill pine in blue or interior oak woodland.

<u>PI Notations:</u> PI's mapped to this type when emergent pine was sparse but throughout most of the stand (not localized in a small portion of the polygon).

<u>2213 – Quercus douglasii / Juniperus californica – (Ceanothus cuneatus)</u> <u>Mapping Unit</u>

WHR – Blue Oak Woodland, Blue Oak - Foothill Pine NDDB – Cismontane Woodland

<u>Mapping Descriptions:</u> Mapped where either blue oak *(Quercus douglasii)* or California juniper *(Juniperus californica)* dominate the tree layer in very sparse to sparse settings usually under 20% cover. Wedge-leaf ceanothus *(Ceanothus cuneatus)* is usually a sparse component to the shrub layer. Understory grasses are similar in density to other blue oak types.

<u>Environmental & Geographic Settings:</u> Mapped in very dry settings; usually on the side slopes of small rocky streambeds in the low foothills just within the low-elevation occurrence of blue oak.

<u>PI Notations:</u> Mapped by photo interpreters when they can confidently observe juniper in the stand. Juniper can be very difficult to separate out from blue oak in extremely sparse conditions and determining relative cover is questionable under these circumstances.

2214 - Quercus douglasii - Aesculus californica / Herbaceous Association

WHR – Blue Oak Woodland, Blue Oak - Foothill Pine NDDB – Cismontane Woodland

<u>Mapping Descriptions:</u> Mapped where blue oak (*Quercus douglasii*) is a strong dominant to California buckeye (*Aesculus californica*) in a moderately dense to dense woodland setting over a grassy understory.

<u>Environmental & Geographic Settings:</u> Mapped in small stands by photo interpreters primarily in dense blue oak woodland on moderate to steep upper north trending slopes.

<u>PI Notations:</u> Mapped by photo interpreters when a presence of buckeye is regularly occurring throughout the stand. Buckeye is usually noticeable in this season during drought onset conditions when the leaves are turning color.

<u> 2215 – Quercus douglasii / Ceanothus cuneatus / Herbaceous Association</u>

WHR – Blue Oak Woodland NDDB – Cismontane Woodland

<u>Mapping Descriptions:</u> Mapped where blue oak (*Quercus douglasii*) dominates the tree layer in generally sparse settings over a sparse to relatively dense understory shrub layer of wedge-leaf ceanothus (*Ceanothus cuneatus*). Understory herbaceous layer varies in density but is similar to other blue oak types. Other shrubs may be present in the understory in addition to wedge-leaf ceanothus.

<u>Environmental & Geographic Settings:</u> Mapped in extremely dry settings often adjacent to blue oak grasslands. Photo interpreters have not established any strong terrain or soil correlations to the presence or absence of shrubs but believe it may be related to previous fire occurrence. Extensive blue oak type; mapped to the association level based on previous mapping efforts in foothill communities.

<u>PI Notations:</u> Photo interpreters mapped to this type when they saw sparse shrubs occurring regularly throughout most of the stand. Extremely sparse shrub understory layers are difficult to determine from blue oak grassland types.

2216 - Quercus douglasii / Arctostapylos manzanita / Herbaceous Association

WHR – Blue Oak Woodland NDDB – Cismontane Woodland <u>Mapping Descriptions:</u> Mapped where blue oak *(Quercus douglasii)* dominates the tree layer in sparse to moderate cover over a sparse understory cover of common manzanita *(Arctostaphylos manzanita)*.

<u>Environmental & Geographic Settings:</u> Mapped in the mid to higher elevation zone of blue oak types.

<u>PI Notations:</u> This is an extremely difficult type to map (low confidence). Manzanita in the stand is generally not dense enough to be recognizable by photo interpreters, and no strong environmental correlations were found.

2220 - Aesculus californica Alliance

WHR – Blue Oak Woodland NDDB – Broadleaf Upland Forest

<u>Mapping Descriptions:</u> Mapped where California buckeye (*Aesculus californica*) dominates the tall shrub or small tree canopy in dense to sparse settings; often with a small component of other shrubs.

<u>Environmental & Geographic Settings:</u> Mapped in very steep and rocky settings; stands are usually fairly small size.

<u>PI Notations:</u> Infrequently mapped, buckeye is usually a component of blue oak woodlands or northern mixed mesic chaparral types.

2231 – Quercus Iobata / Herbaceous Association

WHR – Valley Oak Forest, Valley Foothill Riparian NDDB – Cismontane Woodland

Note: Polygons delineated to this level in the classification are mapped to a field labeled <u>riparian sub-code</u>; Pl code retains the 1300 identity.

<u>Mapping Descriptions:</u> Mapped where valley oak (*Quercus lobata*) dominates the stand in a sparse to dense woodland setting over a grassy understory.

<u>Environmental & Geographic Settings:</u> Mapped only in several occasions where stands are clearly not adjacent or part of the riparian corridor.

2232 – Quercus Iobata Riparian Mapping Unit

WHR – Valley Oak Forest, Valley Foothill Riparian NDDB – Riparian Forest

Note: Polygons delineated to this level in the classification are mapped to a field labeled riparian sub-code; PI code retains the 1300 identity.

<u>Mapping Descriptions:</u> Mapped where valley oak (*Quercus lobata*) dominates the riparian stand, usually with other species such as *Fraxinus latifolia* or *Alnus rhombifolia*.

<u>Environmental & Geographic Settings:</u> Mapped along broad riparian floodplains, often along major perennial rivers.

<u>PI Notations:</u> Mapped only where photo interpreters can see significant areas where valley oak dominates. Usually, major riparian corridors could not be split out to different associations because of the amount of species diversity over small areas and therefore most stands are mapped to type 1300.

2240 - Quercus kelloggii Alliance

WHR – Montane Hardwood or Hardwood - Conifer NDDB – Cismontane Woodland

<u>Mapping Descriptions:</u> Mapped where black oak (*Quercus kelloggii*) dominates the stand in moderately dense to dense woodland settings. Stands are limited and generally small. Blue oak (*Quercus douglasii*) can at times be present in the stand. Understory shrubs may consist of Oregon oak (*Quercus garryana*) and often fringe the stand.

<u>Environmental & Geographic Settings:</u> Mapped only in the highest elevations of the study on gentle to level terrain.

<u>PI Notations:</u> At times may be confused with higher elevation blue oak types which can yield a similar signature.

2241 – Quercus kelloggii – Pinus ponderosa Association

WHR – Montane Hardwood or Hardwood- Conifer NDDB – Lower Montane Coniferous Forest

<u>Mapping Descriptions:</u> Mapped where either ponderosa pine (*Pinus ponderosa*) or black oak (*Quercus kelloggii*) co-dominate the stand, generally in a woodland to dense woodland setting.

<u>Environmental & Geographic Settings</u>: Mapped in the northeastern portions of the study area, above 2200' on gentle to moderately sloping terrain.

<u>PI Notations:</u> Stands are generally small and limited to the highest elevations in the mapping area. *Note – all *Pinus ponderosa* were moved to the black oak alliance – Code updated to 2241 after AA analysis.

Shrublands:

3101 - Northern Mixed Mesic Chaparral Mapping Unit

WHR – Mixed Chaparral NDDB – Chaparral

Mapping Descriptions: Mapped where any number of chaparral species including scrubby interior scrub oak (Quercus wislizeni), birch leaf mountain mahogany (Cercocarpus betuloides), Silk tassel (Fremontodendron californicum), chaparral ash (Fraxinus dipetala) or wedge-leaf ceanothus (Ceanothus cuneatus) can locally dominate a mixed community of dense chaparral. Foothill pine (Pinus sabiniana) may occur as a sparse emergent (generally below 8% cover) to the chaparral understory. In extremely disturbed settings (post fire), shrub canopy cover can be relatively sparse. Normally, most stands contain at least three or four species of shrubs, any of which may locally dominate.

<u>Environmental & Geographic Settings:</u> Mapped generally on mid to upper north trending aspects in fairly steep settings. Often noted in post fire settings where the crown cover and size is highly variable.

<u>PI Notations:</u> Mapped where photo interpreters see a high degree of variability in the signature over small areas. This type can often be confused with dense shrubby stands of pure or near pure interior live oak or mixed scrub oak at higher elevations in the mapping area.

3102 - Mixed Scrub Oak Chaparral Super Alliance

WHR – Mixed Chaparral NDDB – Chaparral

<u>Mapping Descriptions:</u> Mapped where either scrub oak (*Quercus berberidifolia*) or brewer oak (*Quercus garryana* var. *fruticosa*) dominate or share dominance in the stand. Other chaparral species such as wedge-leaf ceanothus (*Ceanothus cuneatus*) may be present in the stand. Emergent foothill pine (*Pinus sabiniana*) may be a sparse emergent to the shrub canopy but is generally under 8% cover. Stands generally have a dense shrub cover except in recently disturbed settings.

<u>Environmental & Geographic Settings:</u> Noted on gentle to moderate slopes on the higher elevations of the mapping area.

<u>PI Notations:</u> Photo interpreters created this mapping unit for areas where it is not possible to reliably distinguish the three scrub oak species using the 1-meter NAIP imagery.

3130 - Ceanothus cuneatus Alliance

WHR – Mixed Chaparral NDDB – Chaparral

<u>Mapping Descriptions:</u> Generally mapped to finer levels in the classification (unless mixed with other chaparral species where it is then mapped to an alliance level).

3131 - Ceanothus cuneatus - Eriodictyon californicum Mapping Unit

WHR – Mixed Chaparral NDDB – Chaparral

<u>Mapping Descriptions:</u> Mapped where both wedge-leaf ceanothus *(Ceanothus cuneatus)* and Yerba Santa *(Eriodictyon californicum)* occur in a sparse to moderately dense shrub layer, generally with a significant grassy understory. Either species can locally dominate.

<u>Environmental & Geographic Settings:</u> Generally noted on gentle upper slopes, spurs and ridgelines in post disturbance settings.

<u>PI Notations:</u> Photo interpreters mapped this type generally as small patches not much larger than ½ hectare in size. Difficult to distinguish from highly disturbed northern mixed mesic chaparral stands.

3132 – Ceanothus cuneatus / Herbaceous Association

WHR – Mixed Chaparral NDDB – Chaparral

<u>Mapping Descriptions:</u> Mapped where wedge-leaf ceanothus dominates the shrub layer in sparse to dense settings. Other chaparral species can be found in the stand in addition to trace amounts (less than 5%) of foothill pine irregularly distributed throughout the mapped polygon.

<u>Environmental & Geographic Settings:</u> Mapped on xeric trending slopes; possibly associated with variable post fire disturbance history.

<u>PI Notations:</u> Photo interpreters mapped extremely sparse stands of shrubs (below 5-8%) into a grassland community with a shrub component as a density modifier.

3402 – Mixed Shrub Willow Thicket Mapping Unit

WHR – Valley Foothill Riparian NDDB – Riparian Scrub

<u>Mapping Descriptions:</u> Mapped where any number of true shrub willows such as narrowleaf willow (*Salix exigua*) or tree willows in shrubby form dominate the riparian canopy. Other young tree species such as Fremont Cottonwood (*Populus fremontii*) can be present in the canopy. Also mapped where any three species of brambles, wild grape (*Vitas spp.*), blackberry (*Rubus spp.*) or California Wild Rose (*Rosa californica*) share dominance or is a sole dominant to the shrub layer, usually in dense cover.

<u>Environmental & Geographic Settings:</u> Mapped where photo interpreters see predominantly shrub stature plants in riparian areas trending towards the stream edge (wetter areas) of the riparian system, or along the drier fringes of major riparian zones in the lowest elevations of the mapping area, often adjacent to type 1301.

<u>PI Notations:</u> Photo interpreters use this mapping unit for willows and young riparian species that are indistinguishable on the 1-meter NAIP imagery. Stands are extremely narrow and often adjacent to water. Includes extremely small stands of Vitis-Rubus-Rose.

<u>3510 – Quercus garryana Shrub Alliance</u>

WHR – Mixed Chaparral, Montane Chaparral NDDB – Chaparral

<u>Mapping Descriptions:</u> Mapped where brewer oak (Quercus garryana var. fruticosa) dominates the shrub layer in dense stands, often adjacent to black oak (Quercus kelloggii) woodlands.

<u>Environmental & Geographic Settings:</u> Mapped in the higher elevations of the mapping area (exclusively in the northeast portions) on nearly level to gently sloping terrain.

<u>PI Notations:</u> Photo interpreters have distinguished this scrub oak species by its overall greener signature and its proximity to black oak woodlands. Overall, it is a difficult type to map, especially adjacent to mixed scrub oak types.

Herbaceous:

4101 - Bulrush - Cattail Marsh Mapping Unit

WHR – Freshwater Emergent Wetland NDDB - Marsh

<u>Mapping Descriptions:</u> Mapped where either species dominate or share dominance in the freshwater marsh.

<u>Environmental & Geographic Settings:</u> Mapped in extremely small patches along the fringes of small lakes and along some canals.

<u>4201 – Seasonally Flooded Wetland Herbaceous Mapping Unit</u>

WHR – Wet Meadow NDDB – Meadows & Seeps

<u>Mapping Descriptions:</u> Mapped where wetland grasses and or forbs dominate the herbaceous layer in intermittently to seasonally flooded, or saturated conditions. Stand sizes are often well below the ½ hectare minimum mapping unit (exceptions to the MMU include wetland features detectable by photo interpreters).

4202 - Vernal Pool Mapping Unit

WHR – Annual Grassland NDDB – Vernal Pool

<u>Mapping Descriptions:</u> Mapped only in several locations and not with high confidence at this time. Most examples were not detectable on the imagery or were extremely small. Additional AA surveys may help in correlating signatures.

<u>PI Notations:</u> Stands containing tidy tips (*Layia spp.*) are generally not separable on the NAIP imagery unless co-occurring with a minor presence of sedges or rushes. Most of these small patches are probably inclusions in type 4310.

4310 - California Annual or Perennial Grassland Mapping Unit

WHR – Annual Grassland, Perennial Grassland NDDB – Valley & Foothill Grasslands

<u>Mapping Descriptions:</u> Mapped where annual grasses dominate the herbaceous layer; forbs may locally dominate over small areas. Woody vegetation (trees and or shrubs) are generally under 5-8% cover. Perennial grasses and forbs can locally dominate the stand in a variety of settings.

9000 - Land Use - Sparsely- or Un-vegetated

9100 – Built Up

9200 - Agriculture

9300 – Restoration Sites *Note: Polygons delineated to this level in the classification are mapped to a field labeled <u>riparian sub-code</u>; <i>PI code retains the 1300 identity.* 9400 Sparsely Vegetated or Unvegetated Areas

9410 - Landslides

9420 - Cliffs - Rock Outcroppings - Steep Eroded Slopes

9430 - Streambeds & Flats

9500 - Water

9999 - Field Questions or Unknown

Cover Class Density Values in Map

Cover class categories for Conifers, Hardwoods and Shrubs (Attributes in the vegetation map):

```
1 = >60%

2 = 40-60%

3 = 25-40%

4 = 10-25%

5 = 2-10%

9 = Cover Class Density Less than 2%
```

Herbaceous Categories Density Values (Attributes found in the vegetation map):

```
1 = >60%
2 = 40-60%
3 = Under 40% Cover
9 = Cover Class Density Not Known (Higher stature canopies often hide herbaceous understory)
```

Other Metadata for Map

Minimum Mapping Unit (MMU): Standard unit of approximately ½ hectare in the vegetation map; Wetland types map below MMU when visible on the imagery.

Riparian Sub-class Field: Created for riparian calls that PI's feel confident they can map to finer levels than the 1300 category. The 1300 category is retained in the field labeled PI.

Appendix B.

Accuracy Assessment Sampling Plan for Lassen Foothills Vegetation Mapping Project (October 31, 2007)

Introduction

This sampling plan clarifies the accuracy assessment objectives for the Lassen Foothills vegetation mapping project. It defines the protocol, sampling level, and sample size in order to provide the most efficient conduct of fieldwork. This protocol feeds into the design of the accuracy assessment, which will measure the truth of the sampling units selected to a level of 80%.

Description of area to be assessed:

The study area encompasses approximately 108,400 acres in eastern Tehama County, covering three large parcels including South Denny Ranch, Tehama Wildlife Area, and the Dye Creek Preserve. The Lassen foothills feature a biologically diverse array of blue oak woodlands, foothill chaparral, grasslands and vernal pools.

Objectives of assessment:

CNPS will independently assess the accuracy of a polygon-based alliance and association level vegetation classification map produced by AIS photo interpreters, through a two-stage field sampling method. The sample accuracy goal is to reach a confidence level of 80% or greater.

Sampling plan:

The number of samples per association will vary according to the rarity of the vegetation type. Sample locations will first be spatially stratified within 11 modules provided by AIS. Polygons will be selected separately within each module using the following rules: if < 20 map units within module, then all are selected; if between 20 and 100 map units within module, then select 10 at random using the random number generator function in Microsoft Excel; if > 100 map units were mapped within a module, none were selected, as samples will likely be picked up during field visits. After all modules are received, all assigned map units will be combined and reassessed for selection. Polygons will be selected using a complete tally of all map units using the same selection rules as above. This protocol will result in a cost-effective and well-distributed sample.

Field crews will use Global Positioning Systems (GPS) to locate themselves within a selected sample polygon. Ground visits will be conducted by traversing enough of a sample polygon area to assess the composition and proportions of the map entities. Selected polygons will be sampled in one of three ways; Rapid Assessment, Long Reconnaissance form, or Short Reconnaissance form. The Rapid Assessment protocol is a concise methodology for collecting salient vegetation and environmental features across an entire stand or polygon of vegetation (not just a confined plot boundary). Each assessment takes about 30 minutes to complete. The survey size varies depending on the size of the stand and the accessibility of the entire stand, and thus could be <1 acre or > 5 acres in size. The Reconnaissance forms are abbreviated for the quick confirmation of a vegetation type and collects minimal ecological information.

Excluded from the Accuracy Assessment are the categories of Built-up, Agriculture, and Water. Attributes collected at each sample point include at the minimum: Air photo # assigned by AIS, Date, Surveyor name, GPS unit, waypoint #, Distance/Bearing, photo number, size of stand, field alliance name, and comments. A vegetation classification produced through a previous CNPS Sierra Foothills project will be used to key out vegetation within the study area.

Data Analysis:

The results of the validation and assessment will take the form of an error analysis which reports mapping accuracy. A fuzzy logic approach will be employed. Fuzzy sets allowed for the recognition that plots did not always fit unambiguously into a single map class. For each polygon assessed, the vegetation attribute will be given a rating between absolutely wrong (1) and absolutely right (3). For canopy cover attributes, all estimated values will be given a rating between absolutely wrong (1) and absolutely right (5).

When the results of the map validation demonstrate that particular classes cannot meet an 80 percent class accuracy federal standard, three possible situations can arise:

- (1) The accuracy for a particular class is less than 80 percent we determine that the documented level of error for that particular class is acceptable.
- (2) The accuracy for a particular class is less than 80 percent and the error is not acceptable. Supplemental correlation to environmental variables and further analysis of the photo signature will be required to elevate the accuracy level up to the project standard.
- (3) The accuracy for a particular class is less than 80 percent and the error is not acceptable. If supplemental correlation to environmental variables and further analysis of the photo signature does not enable a higher level of class accuracy, the type will be classified at a coarser level in the hierarchy to meet the class accuracy requirements¹.

Conclusion

This plan provides the protocol to assess the mapping accuracy of the Lassen Foothills vegetation mapping project.

References:

¹ Field Methods for Vegetation Mapping. 1994. USGS/Biological Resources Division, Center for Biological Informatics. Denver, Co. Accessed online in October, 2007 at USGS http://biology.usgs.gov/npsveg/fieldmethods/sect7.html.

Appendix C.

List of scientific names for species occurring in vegetation surveys of the Lassen Foothills study area. Botanical reference information is from Hickman (1993) and USDA (2004).

| Code Species | Species Name | Family |
|-----------------|--|------------------|
| ACLE8 | Achnatherum lemmonii (Swallen) Barkworth | Poaceae |
| ACMA3 | Acer macrophyllum Pursh | Aceraceae |
| ACMI2 | Achillea millefolium L. | Asteraceae |
| ACMO2 | Achyrachaena mollis Schauer | Asteraceae |
| ADJO | Adiantum jordanii C. Muell. | Pteridaceae |
| AECA | Aesculus californica (Spach) Nutt. | Hippocastanaceae |
| AETR | Aegilops triuncialis L. | Poaceae |
| AGGR | Agoseris grandiflora (Nutt.) Greene | Asteraceae |
| AGHE2 | Agoseris heterophylla (Nutt.) Greene | Asteraceae |
| AGRE | Agoseris retrorsa (Benth.) Greene | Asteraceae |
| AICA | Aira caryophyllea L. | Poaceae |
| ALAM2 | Allium amplectens Torr. | Liliaceae |
| ALGAE | Algae | |
| ALLIU | Allium L. | Liliaceae |
| ALRH2 | Alnus rhombifolia Nutt. | Betulaceae |
| ALSA3 | Alopecurus saccatus Vasey | Poaceae |
| AMAR2 | Ambrosia artemisiifolia L. | Asteraceae |
| AMBL | Amaranthus blitoides S. Wats. | Amaranthaceae |
| AMME | Amsinckia menziesii (Lehm.) A. Nels. & J.F. Macbr. | Boraginaceae |
| AMMEI2 | Amsinckia menziesii (Lehm.) A. Nels. & J.F. Macbr. var. intermedia (Fisch & C.A. Mey.) Ganders | Boraginaceae |
| AMSIN | Amsinckia Lehm. | Boraginaceae |
| ANAR | Anagallis arvensis L. | Primulaceae |
| ANCA14 | Anthriscus caucalis Bieb. | Apiaceae |
| ANCO2 | Anthemis cotula L. | Asteraceae |
| ANDRO2 | Andropogon L. | Poaceae |
| ANVI2 | Andropogon virginicus L. | Poaceae |
| APCA | Apocynum cannabinum L. | Apocynaceae |
| APOC | Aphanes occidentalis (Nutt.) Rydb. | Rosaceae |
| ARCA10 | Aristolochia californica Torr. | Aristolochiaceae |
| ARDO3 | Artemisia douglasiana Bess. | Asteraceae |
| ARMA | Arctostaphylos manzanita Parry | Ericaceae |
| ARVI4 | Arctostaphylos viscida Parry | Ericaceae |
| ASCLE | Asclepias L. | Asclepiadaceae |
| ASFA | Asclepias fascicularis Dcne. | Asclepiadaceae |
| ASPA15 | Astragalus pauperculus Greene | Fabaceae |
| ASSP | Asclepias speciosa Torr. | Asclepiadaceae |

| ASTEXX | Asteraceae | Asteraceae |
|------------|---|------------------|
| AVBA | Avena barbata Pott ex Link | Poaceae |
| AVENA | Avena L. | Poaceae |
| AVFA | Avena fatua L. | Poaceae |
| BASA4 | Baccharis salicifolia (Ruiz & Pavón) Pers. | Asteraceae |
| BEAQD | Berberis aquifolium Pursh var. dictyota (Jepson) Jepson | Berberidaceae |
| BIFR | Bidens frondosa L. | Asteraceae |
| BLNAN | Blennosperma nanum (Hook.) Blake var. nanum | Asteraceae |
| BRASXX | Brassicaceae | Brassicaceae |
| BRCA3 | Brickellia californica (Torr. & Gray) Gray | Asteraceae |
| BRCA4 | Brodiaea californica Lindl. | Liliaceae |
| BRCA5 | Bromus carinatus Hook. & Arn. | Poaceae |
| BRCO3 | Brodiaea coronaria (Salisb.) Engl. | Liliaceae |
| BRDI2 | Brachypodium distachyon (L.) Beauv. | Poaceae |
| BRDI3 | Brachypodium distachyon (L.) Beauv. | Poaceae |
| BRDI3 | Bromus diandrus Roth | Poaceae |
| BREL | Brodiaea elegans Hoover | Liliaceae |
| BRELE | Brodiaea elegans Hoover ssp. elegans | Liliaceae |
| BRHO | Brodiaea howellii S. Wats. | Liliaceae |
| BRHO2 | Bromus hordeaceus L. | Poaceae |
| BRLA3 | Bromus laevipes Shear | Poaceae |
| BRMA3 | Bromus madritensis L. | Poaceae |
| BRMAR | Bromus madritensis L. ssp. rubens (L.) Husnot | Poaceae |
| BRMI2 | Briza minor L. | Poaceae |
| BRNI | Brassica nigra (L.) W.D.J. Koch | Brassicaceae |
| BRODI | Brodiaea Sm. | Liliaceae |
| BROMU | Bromus L. | Poaceae |
| BRST2 | Bromus sterilis L. | Poaceae |
| BRTE | Bromus tectorum L. | Poaceae |
| CAAF | Castilleja affinis Hook. & Arn. | Scrophulariaceae |
| CAAT25 | Castilleja attenuata (Gray) Chuang & Heckard | Scrophulariaceae |
| CABA4 | Carex barbarae Dewey | Cyperaceae |
| CACI4 | Calycadenia ciliosa Greene | Asteraceae |
| CADE27 | Calocedrus decurrens (Torr.) Florin | Cupressaceae |
| CAFR | Calycadenia fremontii Gray | Asteraceae |
| CAFR2 | Carex fracta Mackenzie | Cyperaceae |
| CALOC | Calochortus Pursh | Liliaceae |
| CALU9 | Calochortus luteus Dougl. ex Lindl. | Liliaceae |
| CALYC | Calycadenia DC. | Asteraceae |
| CANU5 | Carex nudata W. Boott | Cyperaceae |
| CAOC5 | Calycanthus occidentalis Hook. & Arn. | Calycanthaceae |
| CAOC6 | Calystegia occidentalis (Gray) Brummitt | Convolvulaceae |
| CAOL | Cardamine oligosperma Nutt. | Brassicaceae |
| CAREX | Carex L. | Cyperaceae |
| J, II (L)(| Odion Ei | Syporadodd |

| CASU3 | Calochortus superbus Purdy ex J.T. Howell | Liliaceae |
|--------|---|------------------|
| CATR3 | Calycadenia truncata DC. | Asteraceae |
| CEBE3 | Cercocarpus betuloides Nutt. | Rosaceae |
| CECAO | Cercis canadensis L. var. orbiculata (Greene) | Fabaceae |
| OLOAO | Barneby | abaccac |
| CECU | Ceanothus cuneatus (Hook.) Nutt. | Rhamnaceae |
| CEGL2 | Cerastium glomeratum Thuill. | Caryophyllaceae |
| CEIN3 | Ceanothus integerrimus Hook. & Arn. | Rhamnaceae |
| CEME2 | Centaurea melitensis L. | Asteraceae |
| CEMU2 | Centaurium muehlenbergii (Griseb.) W. Wight ex Piper | Gentianaceae |
| CEOC2 | Cephalanthus occidentalis L. | Rubiaceae |
| CEOCC2 | Cephalanthus occidentalis L. var. californicus Benth. | Rubiaceae |
| CEOCO | Cercis occidentalis Torr. ex Gray var. orbiculata (Greene) Tidestrom | Fabaceae |
| CESO3 | Centaurea solstitialis L. | Asteraceae |
| CEVE3 | Centaurium venustum (Gray) B.L. Robins. | Gentianaceae |
| CHAN2 | Chlorogalum angustifolium Kellogg | Liliaceae |
| CHENO | Chenopodium L. | Chenopodiaceae |
| CHLOR3 | Chlorogalum Kunth | Liliaceae |
| CHME2 | Chorizanthe membranacea Benth. | Polygonaceae |
| CHPO3 | Chlorogalum pomeridianum (DC.) Kunth | Liliaceae |
| CHPO4 | Chorizanthe polygonoides Torr. & Gray | Polygonaceae |
| CHPOP5 | Chorizanthe polygonoides Torr. & Gray var. polygonoides | Polygonaceae |
| CHST5 | Chorizanthe stellulata Benth. | Polygonaceae |
| CIQU3 | Cicendia quadrangularis (Lam.) Griseb. | Gentianaceae |
| CIVU | Cirsium vulgare (Savi) Ten. | Asteraceae |
| CLARK | Clarkia Pursh | Onagraceae |
| CLLA3 | Clematis lasiantha Nutt. | Ranunculaceae |
| CLLI2 | Clematis ligusticifolia Nutt. | Ranunculaceae |
| CLPA5 | Claytonia parviflora Dougl. ex Hook. | Portulacaceae |
| CLPAP | Claytonia parviflora Dougl. ex Hook. ssp. parviflora | Portulacaceae |
| CLPE | Claytonia perfoliata Donn ex Willd. | Portulacaceae |
| CLPU2 | Clarkia purpurea (W. Curtis) A. Nels. & J.F. Macbr | Onagraceae |
| CLPUQ | Clarkia purpurea (W. Curtis) A. Nels. & J.F. Macbr. ssp. quadrivulnera (Dougl. ex Lindl.) H.F. & M.E. Lewis | Onagraceae |
| CLRH | Clarkia rhomboidea Dougl. ex Hook. | Onagraceae |
| CLUN | Clarkia unguiculata Lindl. | Onagraceae |
| COCA5 | Conyza canadensis (L.) Cronq. | Asteraceae |
| COHE | Collinsia heterophylla Buist ex Graham | Scrophulariaceae |
| COLLI | Collinsia Nutt. | Scrophulariaceae |
| COPA3 | Collinsia parviflora Lindl. | Scrophulariaceae |
| COSE16 | Cornus sericea L. | Cornaceae |
| COTE3 | Cordylanthus tenuis Gray | Scrophulariaceae |

| COUM | Comandra umbellata (L.) Nutt. | Santalaceae |
|--------|--|-----------------|
| CRAN11 | Crucianella angustifolia L. | Rubiaceae |
| CRCO34 | Crassula connata (Ruiz & Pavón) Berger | Crassulaceae |
| CRCR4 | Cryptantha crinita Greene | Boraginaceae |
| CRTI | Crassula tillaea Lester-Garland | Crassulaceae |
| CYDA | Cynodon dactylon (L.) Pers. | Poaceae |
| CYEC | Cynosurus echinatus L. | Poaceae |
| CYER | Cyperus eragrostis Lam. | Cyperaceae |
| CYPER | Cyperus L. | Cyperaceae |
| DACA12 | Damasonium californicum Torr. ex Benth. | Alismataceae |
| DAPE | Darmera peltata (Torr. ex Benth.) Voss | Saxifragaceae |
| DAPU3 | Daucus pusillus Michx. | Apiaceae |
| DEDA | Deschampsia danthonioides (Trin.) Munro | Poaceae |
| DELPH | Delphinium L. | Ranunculaceae |
| DEVAV | Delphinium variegatum Torr. & Gray ssp. | Ranunculaceae |
| | variegatum | |
| DICA14 | Dichelostemma capitatum (Benth.) Wood | Liliaceae |
| DICAC5 | Dichelostemma capitatum (Benth.) Wood ssp. capitatum | Liliaceae |
| DICHE2 | Dichelostemma Kunth | Liliaceae |
| DIFO | Dicentra formosa (Haw.) Walp. | Fumariaceae |
| DIMU5 | Dichelostemma multiflorum (Benth.) Heller | Liliaceae |
| DIVO | Dichelostemma volubile (Kellogg) Heller | Liliaceae |
| DOCLP | Dodecatheon clevelandii Greene ssp. patulum (Greene) H.J. Thompson | Primulaceae |
| DOCU | Downingia cuspidata (Greene) Greene ex Jepson | Campanulaceae |
| DRAR3 | Dryopteris arguta (Kaulfuss) Watt | Dryopteridaceae |
| ELAC | Eleocharis acicularis (L.) Roemer & J.A. Schultes | Cyperaceae |
| ELEL5 | Elymus elymoides (Raf.) Swezey | Poaceae |
| ELGL | Elymus glaucus Buckl. | Poaceae |
| ELMA5 | Eleocharis macrostachya Britt. | Cyperaceae |
| ELMU3 | Elymus multisetus M.E. Jones | Poaceae |
| EPBR3 | Epilobium brachycarpum K. Presl | Onagraceae |
| EPCI | Epilobium ciliatum Raf. | Onagraceae |
| EPDE4 | Epilobium densiflorum (Lindl.) Hoch & Raven | Onagraceae |
| EPGI | Epipactis gigantea Dougl. ex Hook. | Orchidaceae |
| EPILO | Epilobium L. | Onagraceae |
| EPPA7 | Epilobium pallidum (Eastw.) Hoch & Raven | Onagraceae |
| EQUIS | Equisetum L. | Equisetaceae |
| ERBO | Erodium botrys (Cav.) Bertol. | Geraniaceae |
| ERBR14 | Erodium brachycarpum (Godr.) Thellung | Geraniaceae |
| ERCA33 | Eryngium castrense Jepson | Apiaceae |
| ERCA6 | Eriodictyon californicum (Hook. & Arn.) Torr. | Hydrophyllaceae |
| ERCI6 | Erodium cicutarium (L.) LHér. ex Ait. | Geraniaceae |
| ERCI6 | Erodium cicutarium (L.) L'Hér. ex Ait. | Geraniaceae |
| ERCO25 | Eriophyllum confertiflorum (DC.) Gray | Asteraceae |

| ERLA6 | Eriophyllum lanatum (Pursh) Forbes | Asteraceae |
|--------|--|---------------|
| ERLAG | Eriophyllum lanatum (Pursh) Forbes var. grandiflorum (Gray) Jepson | Asteraceae |
| ERNU3 | Eriogonum nudum Dougl. ex Benth. | Polygonaceae |
| ERODI | Erodium L'Hér. ex Ait. | Geraniaceae |
| ERSE3 | Eremocarpus setigerus (Hook.) Benth. | Euphorbiaceae |
| ESCA | Eschscholzia caespitosa Benth. | Papaveraceae |
| ESCA2 | Eschscholzia californica Cham. | Papaveraceae |
| ESLO | Eschscholzia lobbii Greene | Papaveraceae |
| EUOC4 | Euthamia occidentalis Nutt. | Asteraceae |
| EUPE6 | Euphorbia peplus L. | Euphorbiaceae |
| EUPHO | Euphorbia L. | Euphorbiaceae |
| EUSP | Euphorbia spathulata Lam. | Euphorbiaceae |
| FICA | Ficus carica L. | Moraceae |
| FIGA | Filago gallica L. | Asteraceae |
| FILAG | Filago L. | Asteraceae |
| FRAFA2 | Fritillaria affinis (Schultes) Sealy var. affinis | Liliaceae |
| FRCA6 | Fremontodendron californicum (Torr.) Coville | Sterculiaceae |
| FRDI2 | Fraxinus dipetala Hook. & Arn. | Oleaceae |
| FRLA | Fraxinus latifolia Benth. | Oleaceae |
| FRPL | Fritillaria pluriflora Torr. ex Benth. | Liliaceae |
| GAAP2 | Galium aparine L. | Rubiaceae |
| GACO9 | Garrya congdonii Eastw. | Garryaceae |
| GAFR | Garrya fremontii Torr. | Garryaceae |
| GALIU | Galium L. | Rubiaceae |
| GAPA5 | Galium parisiense L. | Rubiaceae |
| GAPO | Galium porrigens Dempster | Rubiaceae |
| GARRY | Garrya Dougl. ex Lindl. | Garryaceae |
| GAVE3 | Gastridium ventricosum auct. non (Gouan) Schinz & Thellung | Poaceae |
| GEDI | Geranium dissectum L. | Geraniaceae |
| GEMO | Geranium molle L. | Geraniaceae |
| GITR2 | Gilia tricolor Benth. | Polemoniaceae |
| GNLU | Gnaphalium luteoalbum L. | Asteraceae |
| GRCA | Grindelia camporum Greene | Asteraceae |
| GRHI | Grindelia hirsutula Hook. & Arn. | Asteraceae |
| GRHID2 | Grindelia hirsutula Hook. & Arn. var. davyi (Jepson) M.A. Lane | Asteraceae |
| GRIND | Grindelia Willd. | Asteraceae |
| HEAC8 | Hesperevax acaulis (Kellogg) Greene | Asteraceae |
| HEAR5 | Heteromeles arbutifolia (Lindl.) M. Roemer | Rosaceae |
| HECA11 | Hesperolinon californicum (Benth.) Small | Linaceae |
| HEFI | Hemizonia fitchii Gray | Asteraceae |
| HEGR7 | Heterotheca grandiflora Nutt. | Asteraceae |
| HERBAC | Herbaceous spp type unknown | unknown |
| HIIN3 | Hirschfeldia incana (L.) Lagrèze-Fossat | Brassicaceae |

| Holozonia filipes (Hook. & Arn.) Greene | Asteraceae |
|---|---|
| Holcus lanatus L. | Poaceae |
| Holocarpha macradenia (DC.) Greene | Asteraceae |
| Hordeum marinum Huds. | Poaceae |
| Hordeum marinum Huds. ssp. gussonianum (Parl.) | Poaceae |
| Hordeum murinum L. | Poaceae |
| Hordeum murinum L. ssp. leporinum (Link) Arcang. | Poaceae |
| Hordeum L. | Poaceae |
| Holocarpha virgata (Gray) Keck | Asteraceae |
| Holocarpha virgata (Gray) Keck ssp. virgata | Asteraceae |
| Hypochaeris glabra L. | Asteraceae |
| Hypericum mutilum L. | Clusiaceae |
| Hypericum perforatum L. | Clusiaceae |
| Hypochaeris L. | Asteraceae |
| Hypochaeris radicata L. | Asteraceae |
| soetes orcuttii A.A. Eat. | Isoetaceae |
| luncus balticus Willd. | Juncaceae |
| luncus bufonius L. | Juncaceae |
| luglans californica S. Wats. | Juglandaceae |
| luncus capitatus Weigel | Juncaceae |
| luniperus californica Carr. | Cupressaceae |
| luncus effusus L. | Juncaceae |
| luncus L. | Juncaceae |
| luncus oxymeris Engelm. | Juncaceae |
| Keckiella breviflora (Lindl.) Straw | Scrophulariaceae |
| Keckiella Straw | Scrophulariaceae |
| Koeleria phleoides (Vill.) Pers. | Poaceae |
| asthenia californica DC. ex Lindl. | Asteraceae |
| ayia fremontii (Torr. & Gray) Gray | Asteraceae |
| actuca saligna L. | Asteraceae |
| actuca serriola L. | Asteraceae |
| athyrus sulphureus Brewer ex Gray | Fabaceae |
| epidium nitidum Nutt. | Brassicaceae |
| eontodon taraxacoides (Vill.) Mérat | Asteraceae |
| eymus triticoides (Buckl.) Pilger | Poaceae |
| essingia virgata Gray | Asteraceae |
| imnanthes alba Hartw. ex Benth. ssp. alba | Limnanthaceae |
| inanthus bicolor (Nutt.) Greene | Polemoniaceae |
| ichen | |
| inanthus ciliatus (Benth.) Greene | Polemoniaceae |
| iliaceae | Liliaceae |
| inanthus Benth. | Polemoniaceae |
| omatium caruifolium (Hook. & Arn.) Coult. & Rose | Apiaceae |
| onicera hispidula (Lindl.) Dougl. ex Torr. & Gray | Caprifoliaceae |
| | tolcus lanatus L. tolocarpha macradenia (DC.) Greene tordeum marinum Huds. tordeum marinum Huds. ssp. gussonianum (Parl.) thellung tordeum murinum L. tordeum murinum L. tordeum murinum L. ssp. leporinum (Link) Arcang. tordeum L. tolocarpha virgata (Gray) Keck tolocarpha virgata (Gray) Keck ssp. virgata typochaeris glabra L. typochaeris glabra L. typochaeris L. typochaeris L. typochaeris radicata L. typochaeris |

| LOHIV | Lonicera hispidula (Lindl.) Dougl. ex Torr. & Gray var. vacillans (Benth.) Gray | Caprifoliaceae |
|--------|---|------------------|
| LOIN4 | Lonicera interrupta Benth. | Caprifoliaceae |
| LOMAT | Lomatium Raf. | Apiaceae |
| LOMI | Lotus micranthus Benth. | Fabaceae |
| LOMU | Lolium multiflorum Lam. | Poaceae |
| LONIC | Lonicera L. | Caprifoliaceae |
| LOPU3 | Lotus purshianus F.E. & E.G. Clem. | Fabaceae |
| LOUT | Lomatium utriculatum (Nutt. ex Torr. & Gray) Coult. & Rose | Apiaceae |
| LOWR2 | Lotus wrangelianus Fisch. & C.A. Mey. | Fabaceae |
| LUBI | Lupinus bicolor Lindl. | Fabaceae |
| LUCO6 | Luzula comosa E. Mey. | Juncaceae |
| LUNA3 | Lupinus nanus Dougl. ex Benth. | Fabaceae |
| LUPIN | Lupinus L. | Fabaceae |
| LYCA4 | Lythrum californicum Torr. & Gray | Lythraceae |
| LYHY2 | Lythrum hyssopifolia L. | Lythraceae |
| MADIA | Madia Molina | Asteraceae |
| MAEL | Madia elegans D. Don ex Lindl. | Asteraceae |
| MAEX | Madia exigua (Sm.) Gray | Asteraceae |
| MAFA3 | Marah fabaceus (Naud.) Naud. ex Greene | Cucurbitaceae |
| MAGR3 | Madia gracilis (Sm.) Keck & J. Clausen ex Applegate | Asteraceae |
| MARA7 | Maianthemum racemosum (L.) Link | Liliaceae |
| MARSI | Marsilea L. | Marsileaceae |
| MASU | Madia subspicata Keck | Asteraceae |
| MEAL2 | Melilotus albus Medik. | Fabaceae |
| MECA2 | Melica californica Scribn. | Poaceae |
| MEDIC | Medicago L. | Fabaceae |
| MELIC | Melica L. | Poaceae |
| MEPO3 | Medicago polymorpha L. | Fabaceae |
| MEPR | Medicago praecox DC. | Fabaceae |
| MEPU | Mentha pulegium L. | Lamiaceae |
| MESP3 | Mentha spicata L. | Lamiaceae |
| METO | Melica torreyana Scribn. | Poaceae |
| MIAC | Microseris acuminata Greene | Asteraceae |
| MICA | Micropus californicus Fisch. & C.A. Mey. | Asteraceae |
| MICA7 | Minuartia californica (Gray) Mattf. | Caryophyllaceae |
| MICAC2 | Micropus californicus Fisch. & C.A. Mey. var. californicus | Asteraceae |
| MICRO6 | Microseris D. Don | Asteraceae |
| MIDO | Microseris douglasii (DC.) Schultz-Bip. | Asteraceae |
| MIGL2 | Mimulus glaucescens Greene | Scrophulariaceae |
| MIGU | Mimulus guttatus DC. | Scrophulariaceae |
| MIMO3 | Mimulus moschatus Dougl. ex Lindl. | Scrophulariaceae |
| MINUA | Minuartia L. | Caryophyllaceae |

| MOFO | Montia fontana L. | Portulacaceae |
|--------|--|------------------|
| MONAR2 | Monardella Benth. | Lamiaceae |
| MOSS | Moss | |
| MOVI2 | Monardella villosa Benth. | Lamiaceae |
| MURI2 | Muhlenbergia rigens (Benth.) A.S. Hitchc. | Poaceae |
| NAHE | Navarretia heterandra Mason | Polemoniaceae |
| NAIN2 | Navarretia intertexta (Benth.) Hook. | Polemoniaceae |
| NAINI | Navarretia intertexta (Benth.) Hook. ssp. intertexta | Polemoniaceae |
| NALE | Navarretia leucocephala Benth. | Polemoniaceae |
| NAPU2 | Navarretia pubescens (Benth.) Hook. & Arn. | Polemoniaceae |
| NAPU4 | Nassella pulchra (A.S. Hitchc.) Barkworth | Poaceae |
| NATA3 | Navarretia tagetina Greene | Polemoniaceae |
| NAVAR | Navarretia Ruiz & Pavón | Polemoniaceae |
| NEHE | Nemophila heterophylla Fisch. & C.A. Mey. | Hydrophyllaceae |
| NEMOP | Nemophila Nutt. | Hydrophyllaceae |
| NEPA | Nemophila parviflora Dougl. ex Benth. | Hydrophyllaceae |
| NEPE | Nemophila pedunculata Dougl. ex Benth. | Hydrophyllaceae |
| ODHA | Odontostomum hartwegii Torr. | Liliaceae |
| ONAGXX | Onagraceae | Onagraceae |
| ORTE | Orcuttia tenuis A.S. Hitchc. | Poaceae |
| OSCH | Osmorhiza chilensis Hook. & Arn. | Apiaceae |
| PAAC5 | Panicum acuminatum Sw. | Poaceae |
| PAAH | Paronychia ahartii Ertter | Caryophyllaceae |
| PADI3 | Paspalum dilatatum Poir. | Poaceae |
| PADI6 | Paspalum distichum L. | Poaceae |
| PAPU10 | Parvisedum pumilum (Benth.) Clausen | Crassulaceae |
| PEBA5 | Perideridia bacigalupii Chuang & Constance | Apiaceae |
| PEDU2 | Petrorhagia dubia (Raf.) G. López & Romo | Caryophyllaceae |
| PEHE3 | Penstemon heterophyllus Lindl. | Scrophulariaceae |
| PEKE | Perideridia kelloggii (Gray) Mathias | Apiaceae |
| PEMU | Pellaea Link | Pteridaceae |
| PEMU | Pellaea mucronata (D.C. Eat.) D.C. Eat. | Pteridaceae |
| PENST | Penstemon Schmidel | Scrophulariaceae |
| PERID | Perideridia Reichenb. | Apiaceae |
| PETR7 | Pentagramma triangularis (Kaulfuss) Yatskievych, Windham & Wollenweber | Pteridaceae |
| PETRM | Pentagramma triangularis (Kaulfuss) Yatskievych, Windham & Wollenweber ssp. maxonii (Weatherby) Yatskievych, Windham & Wollenweber | Pteridaceae |
| PETRT | Pentagramma triangularis (Kaulfuss) Yatskievych, Windham & Wollenweber ssp. triangularis | Pteridaceae |
| PHACE | Phacelia Juss. | Hydrophyllaceae |
| PHAR3 | Phalaris arundinacea L. | Poaceae |
| PHLE4 | Philadelphus lewisii Pursh | Hydrangeaceae |
| PHPA5 | Phalaris paradoxa L. | Poaceae |
| PHVI9 | Phoradendron villosum (Nutt.) Nutt. | Viscaceae |

| PIPO | Pinus ponderosa P.& C. Lawson | Pinaceae |
|--------|---|----------------|
| PISA2 | Pinus sabiniana Dougl. ex Dougl. | Pinaceae |
| PLAU | Plagiobothrys austiniae (Greene) I.M. Johnston | Boraginaceae |
| PLCA2 | Plagiobothrys canescens Benth. | Boraginaceae |
| PLEL | Plantago elongata Pursh | Plantaginaceae |
| PLER3 | Plantago erecta Morris | Plantaginaceae |
| PLFU | Plagiobothrys fulvus (Hook. & Arn.) I.M. Johnston | Boraginaceae |
| PLGL2 | Plagiobothrys glyptocarpus (Piper) I.M. Johnston | Boraginaceae |
| PLGLG | Plagiobothrys glyptocarpus (Piper) I.M. Johnston var. glyptocarpus | Boraginaceae |
| PLGR | Plagiobothrys greenei (Gray) I.M. Johnston | Boraginaceae |
| PLLA | Plantago lanceolata L. | Plantaginaceae |
| PLMA4 | Plectritis macrocera Torr. & Gray | Valerianaceae |
| PLNO | Plagiobothrys nothofulvus (Gray) Gray | Boraginaceae |
| PLRA | Platanus racemosa Nutt. | Platanaceae |
| PLSH | Plagiobothrys shastensis Greene ex Gray | Boraginaceae |
| PLSTM | Plagiobothrys stipitatus (Greene) I.M. Johnston var. micranthus (Piper) I.M. Johnston | Boraginaceae |
| PLTE | Plagiobothrys tenellus (Nutt. ex Hook.) Gray | Boraginaceae |
| POA | Poa L. | Poaceae |
| POACXX | Poaceae | Poaceae |
| POAN | Poa annua L. | Poaceae |
| POBI4 | Polygonum bidwelliae S. Wats. | Polygonaceae |
| POBO3 | Polygonum bolanderi Brewer | Polygonaceae |
| POBU | Poa bulbosa L. | Poaceae |
| POCA26 | Polypodium calirhiza S. Whitmore & A.R. Sm. | Polypodiaceae |
| POCA7 | Polygonum californicum Meisn. | Polygonaceae |
| PODO2 | Pogogyne douglasii Benth. | Lamiaceae |
| PODO3 | Polanisia dodecandra (L.) DC. | Capparaceae |
| PODO4 | Polygonum douglasii Greene | Polygonaceae |
| POFR2 | Populus fremontii S. Wats. | Salicaceae |
| POGL9 | Potentilla glandulosa Lindl. | Rosaceae |
| POGLG4 | Potentilla glandulosa Lindl. ssp. glandulosa | Rosaceae |
| POIN7 | Polypogon interruptus Kunth | Poaceae |
| POMA10 | Polypogon maritimus Willd. | Poaceae |
| POMO5 | Polypogon monspeliensis (L.) Desf. | Poaceae |
| POPE3 | Polygonum persicaria L. | Polygonaceae |
| POPU5 | Polygonum punctatum Ell. | Polygonaceae |
| POSE | Poa secunda J. Presl | Poaceae |
| POZI | Pogogyne ziziphoroides Benth. | Lamiaceae |
| PREM | Prunus emarginata (Dougl. ex Hook.) D. Dietr. | Rosaceae |
| PRSU2 | Prunus subcordata Benth. | Rosaceae |
| PSBR | Psilocarphus brevissimus Nutt. | Asteraceae |
| PTCR3 | Ptelea crenulata Greene | Rutaceae |
| PTDR | Pterostegia drymarioides Fisch. & C.A. Mey. | Polygonaceae |
| PYCA | Pycnanthemum californicum Torr. | Lamiaceae |

| QUBE5 | Quercus berberidifolia Liebm. | Fagaceae |
|--------|--|-----------------|
| QUCH2 | Quercus chrysolepis Liebm. | Fagaceae |
| QUDO | Quercus douglasii Hook. & Arn. | Fagaceae |
| QUGAB | Quercus garryana Dougl. ex Hook. var. fruticosa | Fagaceae |
| QUGAG2 | Quercus garryana Dougl. ex Hook. var. garryana | Fagaceae |
| QUKE | Quercus kelloggii Newberry | Fagaceae |
| QULO | Quercus lobata Née | Fagaceae |
| QUWI2 | Quercus wislizeni A. DC. | Fagaceae |
| RABO | Ranunculus bonariensis Poir. | Ranunculaceae |
| RAMU2 | Ranunculus muricatus L. | Ranunculaceae |
| RANUN | Ranunculus L. | Ranunculaceae |
| RAOC | Ranunculus occidentalis Nutt. | Ranunculaceae |
| RHAMN | Rhamnus L. | Rhamnaceae |
| RHCA | Rhamnus californica Eschsch. | Rhamnaceae |
| RHIL | Rhamnus ilicifolia Kellogg | Rhamnaceae |
| RHTO6 | Rhamnus tomentella Benth. | Rhamnaceae |
| RHTR | Rhus trilobata Nutt. | Anacardiaceae |
| ROCA2 | Rosa californica Cham. & Schlecht. | Rosaceae |
| RONA2 | Rorippa nasturtium-aquaticum (L.) Hayek | Brassicaceae |
| RORIP | Rorippa Scop. | Brassicaceae |
| RUCO2 | Rumex conglomeratus Murr. | Polygonaceae |
| RUCR | Rumex crispus L. | Polygonaceae |
| RUDI2 | Rubus discolor Weihe & Nees | Rosaceae |
| RUPU3 | Rumex pulcher L. | Polygonaceae |
| RUSA | Rumex salicifolius Weinm. | Polygonaceae |
| RUUR | Rubus ursinus Cham. & Schlecht. | Rosaceae |
| SABI2 | Sanicula bipinnata Hook. & Arn. | Apiaceae |
| SABI3 | Sanicula bipinnatifida Dougl. ex Hook. | Apiaceae |
| SACR2 | Sanicula crassicaulis Poepp. ex DC. | Apiaceae |
| SADEO | Sagina decumbens (Ell.) Torr. & Gray ssp. occidentalis (S. Wats.) Crow | Caryophyllaceae |
| SAEX | Salix exigua Nutt. | Salicaceae |
| SAIN4 | Saxifraga integrifolia Hook. | Saxifragaceae |
| SALA3 | Salix laevigata Bebb | Salicaceae |
| SALA6 | Salix lasiolepis Benth. | Salicaceae |
| SALIX | Salix L. | Salicaceae |
| SAME5 | Sambucus mexicana K. Presl ex DC. | Caprifoliaceae |
| SANI4 | Sambucus nigra L. | Caprifoliaceae |
| SAOF4 | Saponaria officinalis L. | Caryophyllaceae |
| SCACO4 | Scirpus acutus Muhl. ex Bigelow var. occidentalis (S. Wats.) Beetle | Cyperaceae |
| SCAN2 | Scleranthus annuus L. | Caryophyllaceae |
| SCBO | Scribneria bolanderi (Thurb.) Hack. | Poaceae |
| SCCA3 | Scutellaria californica Gray | Lamiaceae |
| SCPE | Scandix pecten-veneris L. | Apiaceae |
| SCUTE | Scutellaria L. | Lamiaceae |

| 051140 | 0.1 | 0 - 1 1 11 |
|--------|---|------------------|
| SEHA2 | Selaginella hansenii Hieron. | Selaginellaceae |
| SELAG | Selaginella Beauv. | Selaginellaceae |
| SEVU | Senecio vulgaris L. | Asteraceae |
| SHAR2 | Sherardia arvensis L. | Rubiaceae |
| SICA4 | Silene californica Dur. | Caryophyllaceae |
| SIGA | Silene gallica L. | Caryophyllaceae |
| SIHA | Sidalcea hartwegii Gray ex Benth. | Malvaceae |
| SILE2 | Silene lemmonii S. Wats. | Caryophyllaceae |
| SIMA3 | Silybum marianum (L.) Gaertn. | Asteraceae |
| SIOF | Sisymbrium officinale (L.) Scop. | Brassicaceae |
| SMCA2 | Smilax californica (A. DC.) Gray | Smilacaceae |
| SNAG | Standing snag | Unknown |
| SOAS | Sonchus asper (L.) Hill | Asteraceae |
| SOCA5 | Solidago californica Nutt. | Asteraceae |
| SOHA | Sorghum halepense (L.) Pers. | Poaceae |
| SOLID | Solidago L. | Asteraceae |
| STACH | Stachys L. | Lamiaceae |
| STME2 | Stellaria media (L.) Vill. | Caryophyllaceae |
| STOFR | Styrax officinalis L. var. redivivus (Torr.) Howard | Styracaceae |
| STST | Stachys stricta Greene | Lamiaceae |
| SYAL | Symphoricarpos albus (L.) Blake | Caprifoliaceae |
| SYMO | Symphoricarpos mollis Nutt. | Caprifoliaceae |
| SYMPH | Symphoricarpos Duham. | Caprifoliaceae |
| TACA8 | Taeniatherum caput-medusae (L.) Nevski | Poaceae |
| TAHA2 | Tauschia hartwegii (Gray) J.F. Macbr. | Apiaceae |
| THCU | Thysanocarpus curvipes Hook. | Brassicaceae |
| TOAR | Torilis arvensis (Huds.) Link | Apiaceae |
| TOCA | Torreya californica Torr. | Taxaceae |
| TODI | Toxicodendron diversilobum (Torr. & Gray) Greene | Anacardiaceae |
| TONO | Torilis nodosa (L.) Gaertn. | Apiaceae |
| TORIL | Torilis Adans. | Apiaceae |
| TRAL5 | Trifolium albopurpureum Torr. & Gray | Fabaceae |
| TRBI | Trifolium bifidum Gray | Fabaceae |
| TRBR7 | Triteleia bridgesii (S. Wats.) Greene | Liliaceae |
| TRCI | Trifolium ciliolatum Benth. | Fabaceae |
| TRDE | Trifolium depauperatum Desv. | Fabaceae |
| TRDED | Trifolium depauperatum Desv. var. depauperatum | Fabaceae |
| TRDET | Trifolium depauperatum Desv. var. truncatum (Greene) McDermott ex Isely | Fabaceae |
| TRDU | Tragopogon dubius Scop. | Asteraceae |
| TRDU2 | Trifolium dubium Sibthorp | Fabaceae |
| TRER6 | Triphysaria eriantha (Benth.) Chuang & Heckard | Scrophulariaceae |
| TRERE2 | Triphysaria eriantha (Benth.) Chuang & Heckard ssp. eriantha | Scrophulariaceae |
| TRGL4 | Trifolium glomeratum L. | Fabaceae |
| TRHI4 | Trifolium hirtum All. | Fabaceae |

| TRHY3 | Triteleia hyacinthina (Lindl.) Greene | Liliaceae |
|--------|---|------------------|
| TRIFO | Trifolium L. | Fabaceae |
| TRITE | Triteleia Dougl. ex Lindl. | Liliaceae |
| TRLA16 | Triteleia laxa Benth. | Liliaceae |
| TRLA4 | Trichostema lanceolatum Benth. | Lamiaceae |
| TRLI8 | Triteleia lilacinum Greene | Liliaceae |
| TRMI4 | Trifolium microcephalum Pursh | Fabaceae |
| TRSU3 | Trifolium subterraneum L. | Fabaceae |
| TRVA | Trifolium variegatum Nutt. | Fabaceae |
| TRWI | Trifolium willdenowii Spreng. | Fabaceae |
| TRWI3 | Trifolium willdenovii Sprengel | Fabaceae |
| TYAN | Typha angustifolia L. | Typhaceae |
| TYDO | Typha domingensis Pers. | Typhaceae |
| TYLA | Typha latifolia L. | Typhaceae |
| TYPHA | Typha L. | Typhaceae |
| UMCA | Umbellularia californica (Hook. & Arn.) Nutt. | Lauraceae |
| URDI | Urtica dioica L. | Urticaceae |
| URLI5 | Uropappus lindleyi (DC.) Nutt. | Asteraceae |
| VEBL | Verbascum blattaria L. | Scrophulariaceae |
| VICA5 | Vitis californica Benth. | Vitaceae |
| VICIA | Vicia L. | Fabaceae |
| VIVI | Vicia villosa Roth | Fabaceae |
| VUBR | Vulpia bromoides (L.) S.F. Gray | Poaceae |
| VULPI | Vulpia K.C. Gmel. | Poaceae |
| VUMI | Vulpia microstachys (Nutt.) Munro | Poaceae |
| VUMY | Vulpia myuros (L.) K.C. Gmel. | Poaceae |
| WOFI | Woodwardia fimbriata Sm. | Blechnaceae |
| WYAN | Wyethia angustifolia (DC.) Nutt. | Asteraceae |
| XAST | Xanthium strumarium L. | Asteraceae |
| YAMI | Yabea microcarpa (Hook. & Arn.) KPol. | Apiaceae |
| ZIFR | Zigadenus fremontii (Torr.) Torr. ex S. Wats. | Liliaceae |

Appendix D.

Lassen Vegetation Mapping Classification (February, 2008)

CLASS

Formation

Alliance or Mapping Unit – Defined Alliances (Italicized)
Associations or Mapping Unit – Defined Associations (Italicized)

1000 - 2000 FORESTS & WOODLANDS

1200 - Temperate Needleleaf Evergreen Forests & Woodlands

1210 - Pinus sabiniana Alliance

1211 - Pinus sabiniana / Ceanothus cuneatus Association

1220 – Juniperus californica Alliance

1300 - North American Temperate Riparian Woodlands & Forests Mapping Unit

1320 - Populus fremontii Alliance

1321 - Populus fremontii - Salix laevigata Association

1330 - Salix laevigata Alliance

1340 – Alnus rhombifolia Alliance

1400 - Temperate Broadleaf Sclerophyll Evergreen Forests

1410 – Umbellaria californica Alliance

1411 – Umbellaria californica – Quercus wislizeni Mapping Unit

1420 – Quercus chrysolepis Alliance

2100 - Xeric Sclerophyll Evergreen Woodland

2120 - Quercus wislizeni Alliance

2121 - Quercus wislizeni - (Quercus douglasii) - Pinus sabiniana

Mapping Unit

2122 - Quercus wislizeni - (Quercus douglasii) - Aesculus californica

Mapping Unit

2123 - Quercus wislizeni - Quercus douglasii Super Alliance

2200 - Cold Season Deciduous Forests & Woodlands

2210 - Quercus douglasii Alliance

2211 - Quercus douglasii / Annual - Perennial Herbaceous Mapping Unit

2212 - Quercus douglasii - Pinus sabiniana Mapping Unit

2213 – Quercus douglasii / Juniperus californica – (Ceanothus cuneatus)

Mapping Unit

2214 – Quercus douglasii / Aesculus californica / Herbaceous

Association

2215 - Quercus douglasii / Ceanothus cuneatus / Herbaceous

Association

2216 - Quercus douglasii / Arctostaphylos manzanita / Herbaceous

Association 2220 – Aesculus californica Alliance

2230 - Quercus Iobata Alliance

2231 - Quercus Iobata / Herbaceous Association

2232 - Quercus Iobata Riparian Mapping Unit

2240 - Quercus kelloggii Alliance

3000 - SHRUBLANDS

3100 - Temperate Broadleaf Sclerophyll Evergreen Shrublands

3101 - Northern Mixed Mesic Chaparral Mapping Unit

3102 - Mixed Scrub Oak Chaparral Super Alliance

3130 - Ceanothus cuneatus Alliance

3131 - Ceanothus cuneatus - Eriodictyon californicum Mapping Unit

3132 - Ceanothus cuneatus / Herbaceous Association

3400 - Temporarily Flooded Cold Season Deciduous Shrubland

3402 - Mixed shrub willow thicket Mapping Unit

3500 - Cold Season Deciduous Shrubland

3510 - Quercus garryana Shrub Alliance

4000 - HERBACEOUS

4100 - Saturated Temperate Perennial Graminoids

4101 - Bulrush - Cattails Mapping Unit

4200 - Seasonally or Temporarily Flooded Graminoids

4201 - Seasonally Flooded Wetland Herbaceous Mapping Unit

4202 - Vernal Pools Mapping Unit

4300 - Temperate Annual Grasslands or Forbs

4310 - California Annual or Perennial Grassland Mapping Unit

9000 - LAND USE - SPARSELY or UNVEGETATED

9100 - Built-up

9200 – Agriculture (Irrigated grains for feeding)

9300 - Restoration Sites

9400 - Sparsely Vegetated or Unvegetated Areas

9410 – Landslides

9420 - Cliffs - Rock Outcrops - Steep eroded slopes

9430 - Stream Beds and Flats

9500 - Water

9999 - Field questions or Unknown